1 2

3

4

5

Acetabular Dysplasia in the Reduced or Subluxated Hip

5

41

Jonathan G. Schoenecker, Ira Zaltz, Justin Roth, and Perry L. Schoenecker

Introduction

As first proposed by Dr. William Harris in the 6 early 1980s, it has become clear that osteoarthri-7 tis (OA) of the hip does not exist as a primary 8 g<mark>AU2</mark> disease, or if it does, it is extraordinarily rare [1]. The extensive experience in total hip replacement 10 surgeries over the last four decades have provided 11 extensive insight into the pathological hip joint 12 processes that lead to hip OA [2]. In particular, 13 mechanical pathologies that cause damage to 14 either the labrum or the chondrolabral junction 15 are often the initiating processes which instigate 16 acetabular and femoral head arthritis (Fig. 5.1) 17 [3]. These key observations have stimulated the 18 field of hip preservation, which focuses on deter-19 mining the mechanisms that cause damage to 20 these structures and the development of surgical 21 approaches that comprehensively correct these 22 pathomorphologies [4-8]. The three pathological 23 processes that lead to injury and degeneration of 24 the labrum and the chondrolabral junction [1, 2]25

J. G. Schoenecker (🖂) Department of Orthopaedics, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA e-mail: jon.schoenecker@vumc.org

> I. Zaltz William Beaumont Hospital, Royal Oak, MI, USA J. Roth · P. L. Schoenecker

Department of Orthopaedics, Washington University Medical Center, St. Louis, MO, USA e-mail: justin.s.roth@wustl.edu; pschoenecker@shrinenet.org

S. Alshryda et al. (eds.), *The Pediatric and Adolescent Hip*, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-12003-0_5

include: dysplasia, impingement and avascular 26 necrosis. The purpose of this chapter is to review 27 the pathologic processes of acetabular and proxi-28 mal femoral development that lead to acetabular 29 dysplasia in a located, or subluxated, hip. 30 Emphasis will be placed upon understanding 31 how the developmental milestones of the acetab-32 ulum influence the surgeon's decision to operate, 33 and if so, whether to perform an acetabuloplasty, 34 redirectional, salvage or replacement procedure. 35

"Mechanical pathologies that cause damage to either the labrum or the chondrolabral junction are often the initiating processes which instigate acetabular and femoral head arthritis" 40

Pathophysiology

Normal anatomical development of the hip 42 requires coordinated growth of both the acetab-43 ulum and proximal femur that provides essential 44 femoral head coverage and clinical stability by 45 the time the child is skeletally mature [9]. 46 Normal acetabular development results in a con-47 gruent hip joint with approximately 15° of ace-48 tabular anteversion and sufficient coverage of 49 the femoral head. At skeletal maturity, an intact 50 hip joint is stable during weight bearing and 51 physiologic range of motion, without inappro-52 priate stresses on the chondrolabral junction. 53 Normal proximal femoral development results 54 in a spherical femoral head with approximately 55

[©] Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019



Fig. 5.1 Prevailing theory of osteoarthritis of the hip. Mechanical pathology leads to labral pathology and hip osteoarthritis. (**a**) Radiographs of a mildly dysplastic hip in 34-year old female with right hip pain (white arrow represents position of patient chondrolabral junction). (**b**, **c**)

Years of improper loading of the hip results in tearing of the labrum or the chondrolabral junction (yellow arrow). (d) Progression of the tear, or increased pathologic loading leads to arthritis of the femoral head or the acetabulum (red arrow)

15° of femoral version and the tip of the greater 56 trochanter at the level of the center of the femo-57 ral head. This normal hip development allows 58 for joint congruency during physiologic activi-59 ties, with physiologic motion of 90° of flexion, 60 15° of internal rotation at 90° of flexion and a 61 90° arc of rotation with equal internal/external 62 rotation (45°) in the prone position. Proper fem-63 oral neck offset and alignment of the greater and 64 lesser trochanters assures both normal muscle 65 tension and force vectors for hip rotation while 66 avoiding extracapsular impingement on the pel-67 vis during physiologic joint motion. Adequate 68 acetabular coverage, proper acetabular and 69 proximal femoral version and 15° of external 70 tibial torsion, results in a stable base to support 71 the torso over the limb with a foot-forward gait 72 during single leg stance. 73

74 Hip dysplasia with a located, or subluxed, femoroacetabular joint is associated with inap-75 propriate development of either the acetabulum, 76 proximal femur or both. Either alone or in combi-77 nations, the resulting pathomorphologies cause 78 damage to the labrum/chondrolabral junction and 79 articular cartilage, leading to premature degener-80 ation of the hip joint [4-8]. Acetabular under-81 coverage of the femoral head produces instability 82 of the femoroacetabular joint. In addition to dam-83 aging intracapsular structures of the hip, intra-84 capsular/extracapsular impingement of the femur 85 86 on the acetabulum or pelvis causes restricted

motion and pain [10]. During ambulation, hip 87 dysplasia may result in excessive stress on the 88 labrum and/or chondrolabral junction (Fig. 5.2), 89 abnormal abductor muscle tension (with gait dis-90 turbance), and malalignment of the limb leading 91 to distal joint pathologies. With these in mind, 92 surgical approaches have been designed to pre-93 serve the hip joint, addressing the pathological 94 mechanical problems presented by these pro-95 cesses. The goals of hip joint preservation sur-96 gery is to restore stable acetabular coverage of 97 the femoral head and achieve a near normal range 98 of motion, without femoral acetabular/pelvic 99 impingement. Proximal femoral dysplasia may 100 occur from primary developmental pathologies, 101 such as proximal femoral focal deficiency, coxa 102 valga/vara or excessive version. It can also 103 develop secondarily, from diseases such as Legg-104 Calve-Perthes disease, slipped capital femoral 105 epiphysis or avascular necrosis. These conditions 106 are presented in detail in other chapters. 107 Acetabular dysplasia may occur primarily from 108 failure of development or secondarily from 109 improper loading by the proximal femur. The 110 morphologic characteristics are dependent upon 111 the stage of acetabular development at the time of 112 insult. 113

Acetabular development (Fig. 5.3) is a 114 dynamic process of endochondral ossification 115 involving the cartilaginous anlage that includes 116 two essential growth centers: the triradiate 117



Fig. 5.2 Micro-instability of the dysplastic hip damages the chondrolabral junction. Hip dysplasia with a located, or subluxed, femoroacetabular joint refers to inappropriate development of either the acetabulum, proximal femur and or both. (a) Angle arrows represent insufficient lateral center edge angle (LCEA) of the ossifying acetabular epiphysis. (b) The resulting pathomorphologies leads to

micro-instability of the femoroacetabular joint during daily activities. (c) This instability ultimately leads to damage of the (c) labrum/chondrolabral junction (red arrow) or even (d) fracture of the acetabular epiphysis (red arrow). Ultimately, this damage leads to premature degeneration of the hip joint

cartilage and the acetabular epiphysis (os acetab-118 A uli) [11–13]. During the first 4 years of life the majority of acetabular development occurs via 120 biomechanical molding, with sequential ossifica-121 tion of the acetabular cartilaginous anlage and 122 radial growth of the acetabulum (by the triradiate 123 cartilage). The shape of the acetabular cartilagi-124 nous anlage is primarily influenced through 125 direct contact (articulation) with the femoral 126 head. The femoral head must be stably reduced in 127 the true acetabulum for optimal acetabular growth 128 and development. The cartilaginous anlage is 129 considerably plastic during the cartilaginous 130 phase and becomes much less plastic later on, 131 following vascular invasion and subsequent ossi-132 fication. The triradiate cartilage is responsible for 133 growth of acetabular width, which must match 134 the growth of the femoral epiphysis. In a normal 135 hip, the majority of the cartilaginous anlage has 136 ossified by 4 years of age [12, 13]. After 4 years 137 of age, triradiate cartilage growth continues to 138 widen the developing acetabulum to accommo-139 date a larger proximal femoral epiphysis [12, 13]. 140 Triradiate cartilage growth is typically complete 141 by 12 years of age in girls and 14 years of age in 142 boys [12, 13]. Starting around age 4 years, and 143 continuing to skeletal maturity, an increase in 144 acetabular depth occurs secondary to growth of 145 the acetabular epiphysis. This growth is essential 146 in providing adequate coverage of a hip in 147

response to the increased size of the femoral 148 epiphysis [12, 13].

Acetabular dysplasia can therefore be broken 150 down to three categories: (1) improper shape and/ 151 or delay in ossification of the cartilaginous anlage, 152 (2) damage to the triradiate cartilage, or (3) prob-153 lems of shape and/or delayed ossification of the 154 acetabular epiphysis. Problems of shape and/or 155 delay in ossification of the cartilaginous anlage 156 occur early in life. As the cartilaginous anlage is 157 considerably plastic, malformation most com-158 monly occurs as a result of eccentric loading of the 159 proximal femur. This results in an altered hip cen-160 ter which is typically superiorly migrated, 161 observed as a "break in Shelton's line" on a weight 162 bearing AP pelvis with femoral version neutral-163 ized (Fig. 5.4). Severe subluxation may cause 164 more significant morphologic changes to the carti-165 laginous anlage and resemble a dislocated hip on 166 radiographs. Additionally, inappropriate biome-167 chanical loading may also delay the vascular inva-168 sion and ossification of the cartilaginous anlage 169 which is observed as an abnormal acetabular index 170 (AI) (Fig. 5.5). Although the cartilaginous anlage 171 has a significant capacity to remodel, it cannot do 172 so without restoration of the appropriate hip cen-173 ter. Additionally, there is no evidence that once an 174 anlage has undergone a morphologic change in the 175 hip center (broken Shelton's line) that it is capable 176 of restoring its normal shape, and hip center, 177



Fig. 5.3 The dynamic process of acetabular development. (a) Acetabular development is a dynamic process of endochondral ossification that occurs through the cartilaginous anlage that includes two essential growth centers: the triradiate cartilage and the acetabular epiphysis (os acetabuli). (b) During the first 4 years of life, the greater majority of acetabular development occurs through the biomechanical molding and sequential ossification of the acetabular cartilaginous anlage (big blue arrows) and the radial growth of the acetabulum by the triradiate cartilage (small blue arrows). The triradiate cartilage is responsible for growth of acetabular width, which must match

the growth of the femoral epiphysis. (c) After 4 years of age, triradiate cartilage growth continues to widen the developing acetabulum to accommodate a larger proximal femoral epiphysis. Starting around the age of 4 and continuing to skeletal maturity, an increase in acetabular depth occurs secondary to growth of the acetabular epiphysis. (d) Triradiate cartilage growth is typically complete by 12 years of age in girls and 14 years of age in boys, around which time the acetabular epiphysis initiates its ossification. (e) At skeletal maturity, the acetabular epiphysis is completely ossified and acetabular development is complete



Fig. 5.4 Deformation of the cartilaginous anlage. Problems of shape and/or delay in ossification of the cartilaginous anlage occur early in life. As the cartilaginous anlage is considerably plastic, malformation most commonly occurs from eccentric loading of the proximal femur. In this case an 8-month old female (**a**) with a dislocated hip observed by (**b**) radiographs with an ossification center in the superior lateral quadrant defined by Hilgenreiner's line (white dashed line) and Perkin's line (yellow dashed line). (**c**) The patient undergoes successful closed reduction with (**d**) restoration of the ossification center to the inferior medial quadrant of Hilgenreiner's and Perkin's line. At this

point, although delayed in its ossification, the cartilaginous anlage observed in (c) is sufficient to maintain hip congruity. (e) As the child grows, if the acetabular anlage fails to ossify and deform, the hip will migrate superior lateral without dislocation. (f) This migration is observed on a standing radiograph with migration of the ossification center back towards the superior lateral quadrant formed by Hilgenreiner and Perkin's lines, a break in Shenton's line and increased acetabular index (AI) as compared to the contralateral side. Together, these pathologic changes in the anlage results in an altered hip center, which has not been demonstrated to spontaneously recover



Fig. 5.5 Acetabular index. The acetabular index (**a**) measures the ossification of the cartilaginous anlage. The normal values per age are presented in Table 5.1. In a normally forming hip (left hip and **c**), the ossification of the anlage results in an index of 20° by the age of 3. This

maintains the hip center in the appropriate position (yellow arrow **c**). With pathologic development (right hip and **b**) the anlage may deform leading to a delay in ossification and migration of the hip center laterally (yellow arrow **b**)



Fig. 5.6 Severe deformation of the cartilaginous anlage in untreated dysplasia. Although the cartilaginous anlage has a significant capacity to remodel, it cannot do so without restoration of the appropriate hip center. Severe deformity of an untreated subluxed, but not dislocated, hip often leads to permanent deformity of the vital developing structures of the hip. Radiographs (**a**), MRI (**b**) and draw-

without surgical intervention. Delay in ossification
of the acetabular cartilaginous anlage, observed as
a delay in acetabular index normalization, may
also occur in idiopathic cases without morphologic change in the anlage. Additionally, if left
uncorrected, the acetabular anlage shape and the
resultant position of the acetabular epiphysis and

ing (c) of a 10-year-old boy demonstrates a subluxed hip with lateral migration and break in Shenton's line. This migration places the labrum (yellow arrow) cartilaginous epiphysis at the junction with the labrum (red arrow) in a biomechanically unfavorable position to function. Additionally, the pathologic forces deform and delay the ossification of the cartilaginous anlage (blue arrow)

labrum, may result in an intra-articular deformity185with dual hip centers that present few reconstruc-186tive possibilities other than salvage procedures187(Fig. 5.6). Conditions affecting triradiate cartilage188growth are typically caused by trauma, infection,189cancer or iatrogenic (secondary to surgery) [15].190Abnormal triradiate cartilage growth often results191



Fig. 5.7 Late pathology of the acetabular epiphysis: Problems of development, shape or delay of ossification of the acetabular epiphysis occur close to skeletal maturity. They typically present radiographically as an insufficient anterior or lateral center edge angle (**a**) radiographically or (**b**) by MRI. Failure of both cartilagi-

AU4

nous growth (red arrow) and ossification (blue arrow) of the anterior and lateral acetabular epiphysis accounts for the majority of late-presenting acetabular dysplasia. These developmental conditions lead to improper loading of the labrum (yellow arrow) and chondrolabral junction

192 in the development of a severe pincer type acetabulum. Fortunately, likely secondary to the robust 193 blood supply of the pelvis, premature triradiate 194 cartilage arrest is rare. There are few reports of 195 successfully restoring the normal development of 196 a failed triradiate growth center. Problems of shape 197 or delayed ossification of the acetabular epiphysis 198 occur closer to skeletal maturity. They typically 199 present radiographically as an insufficient anterior 200 or lateral center edge angle radiographically or by 201 MRI. Failure of both cartilaginous growth and 202 ossification of the anterior and lateral acetabular 203 epiphysis accounts for the majority of late-pre-204 senting acetabular dysplasia (Fig. 5.7). Primary 205 acetabular dysplasia occurs typically in females, 206 the etiology is unknown. 207

> Acetabular dysplasia can occur from either: (1) improper shape and/or delay in ossification of the cartilaginous anlage, (2) damage to the triradiate cartilage, or (3) problems of shape and/or delayed ossification of the acetabular epiphysis.

The main principle in the treatment of acetab-208 ular dysplasia involves maintaining hip joint 209 reduction to provide an optimum environment for 210 acetabular and femoral head development. 211 Intervention should be considered to alter an 212 adverse natural history of pathologic acetabular 213 cartilaginous anlage, triradiate cartilage and ace-214 tabular epiphysis. 215

Essential Pathophysiology Leading to Early Hip Degeneration

- Primary Dysplasia (conferred by developmental pathology):
 - Acetabular dysplasia (e.g. failure of ossification of the acetabular cartilaginous anlage or epiphysis)
 - Proximal femoral dysplasia (e.g. congenital femoral deficiency, coxa vara/valga, excessive femoral ante/ retro-version)
- Secondary Dysplasia (conferred by other diseases):
 - Perthes disease (or other avascular necrosis) with resulting coxa magna,

with late symptomatic impingement and acetabular dysplasia (variable)

- Slipped capital femoral epiphysis (SCFE) associated with metaphyseal CAM deformity and femoroacetabular impingement
- Neuromuscular acetabular dysplasia and coxa valga occurring secondary to spasticity, muscle imbalance, etc. (e.g. cerebral palsy; Charcot-Marie tooth peripheral neuropathy).

216 **Natural History**

The lack of femoral head coverage exists along a 217 218 spectrum; from under-coverage leading to improper joint loading and subluxation to over-219 coverage resulting in femoroacetabular impinge-220 [16–18]. Both 221 ment (FAI) morphologies predispose the hip to damage of the labrum/chon-222 drolabral junction and articular cartilage; potenti-223 ating the premature development of OA [10, 16, 224 18-22]. Nevertheless, the factors which predis-225 pose certain hips to eventual degenerative change 226 remain uncertain [23, 24]. Early in life, the fate of 227 a congenital hip dislocation has been well docu-228 mented. However, the natural history of acetabu-229 230 lar dysplasia in the pediatric and young adult patient remains largely undescribed; with the 231 exception of a few studies that have formed the 232 basis of surgical indications for hip preservation 233 [18, 24–28]. Understanding how acetabular mor-234 phological characteristics affect the rate of 235 236 degenerative change in the hip has substantial implications for prognostic assessment and joint 237 preservation patient selection at all ages [29]. The 238 natural history of acetabular dysplasia with sub-239 luxation is clear; degenerative joint disease will 240 develop in all patients, usually in the third to 241 fourth decade of life [25, 27, 28]. Additionally, as 242 LCEA decreases, subluxation increases [25]. The 243 natural history of untreated adults with dysplasia 244 is more difficult to predict because patients com-245 pensate well and present with dysplasia only as 246 an incidental finding on radiographs or if they 247

have symptoms. However, there is good evidence 248 that dysplasia alone, particularly in females, 249 leads to degenerative joint disease in adults [1, 250 25, 27, 28]. The question of when hip dysplasia 251 patients will become symptomatic in the absence 252 of treatment was evaluated by Hartofilakidis 253 et al. In their series of 202 dysplastic hips, the 254 average age for onset of symptoms was 255 34.5 years. In patients with a low and high 256 dislocation, pain from degenerative arthritis asso-257 ciated with a false acetabulum started at an aver-258 age of 32.5 and 31.2 years, respectively. If there 259 was no false acetabulum, pain onset did not occur 260 until 46.4 years, and was mostly secondary to 261 muscle fatigue [30]. 262

The natural history of hip dysplasia and sub-263 luxation in untreated adults can be extrapolated 264 to residual dysplasia and subluxation after treat-265 ment in the pediatric patient [1, 27, 28]. In a study 266 of 152 pediatric hips treated with closed reduc-267 tion and followed for 31 years, the authors 268 reported that dysplastic hips often went on to 269 subluxation and the development of degenerative 270 joint disease [27]. The cause of degenerative 271 changes in dysplastic hips is probably mechani-272 cal in nature and related to increased contact 273 stress, especially to the labrum/chondrolabral 274 junction, leading to damages articular cartilage 275 over time. There is a clear association between 276 excessive contact stress and late degenerative 277 joint diseases for other abnormal anatomical 278 morphologies, such as genu varum and genu val-279 gum. This same association seems to occur in 280 dysplastic hips with relation to the development 281 of degenerative joint disease at long-term follow-282 up [31, 32]. 283

Untreated severe dysplasia of the hip fre-284 quently leads to osteoarthrosis [14, 25, 31, 33, 285 34]. While there is no debate that severe dyspla-286 sia of the hip should be treated operatively, objec-287 tive criteria on which to base the treatment of 288 mild and moderate dysplasia was not available 289 until the mid-1990s and continues to be refined as 290 we learn more about the natural history. 291 Therefore, operative treatment for residual dys-292 plasia of the hip after skeletal maturity assumes 293 that the dysplasia, if left untreated, will lead to 294 secondary osteoarthrosis of the hip [14, 16, 295

35–41]. Without long-term studies with matched 296 pairs and clearly defined parameters of dysplasia, 297 the natural history of what is now often consid-298 ered an operative indication may never be real-299 ized. In an attempt to provide objective parameters 300 to follow the natural history of acetabular dyspla-301 sia, Murphy and colleagues first defined the dys-302 plastic acetabulum using CT to assess the 303 morphological differences between two matched 304 cohorts of females with mean age 20 years [42]. 305 In their study, the first cohort all went on to pelvic 306 osteotomies for symptomatic dysplasia and the 307 control group was obtained from patients who 308 had CT scans obtained for alternate pelvic pathol-309 ogies. Comparing the cohorts, acetabular ante-310 version was consistent (mean, 20°) and abduction 311 312 was moderately increased in the dysplastic group (mean 62° vs. 53° in controls). The most signifi-313 cant difference was in the mean lateral center 314 315 edge angle with the normal hips measuring 31° and the dysplastic hips measuring 6°. This reduc-316 tion in femoral head lateral coverage was shown 317 318 to be part of a more global acetabular deficiency in the dysplastic hip. The normal acetabular vol-319 ume equated to a hemisphere while the dysplastic 320 only measured one third of a sphere. 321

322 Operative treatment for residual dysplasia of 323 the hip after skeletal maturity assumes that the 324 dysplasia, if left untreated, will lead to second-325 ary osteoarthrosis of the hip.

From these objective determinates, Murphy 326 et al. [43] then published the first significant nat-327 ural history study of the skeletally mature dys-328 plastic hip. This study retrospectively evaluated 329 330 286 young patients with previous unilateral THA for dysplasia and focused on the contralateral 331 non-operated hip. Ultimately, 115 of the patients 332 333 developed severe OA in the contralateral hip by 65 years of age. These patients also had statisti-334 cally greater derangement of all measured radio-335 336 graphic features of dysplasia; including lateral center edge angle (LCEA), acetabular index of 337 depth to width (D/W), vertical distance, lateral 338 339 distance, peak-to-edge distance, femoral extrusion distance and acetabular index (AI). Key 340 findings were that no patient in whom the hip 341 functioned well until age 65 had a LCEA <16°, 342 D/W <38%, AI >15°, femoral head uncovering 343

>31% or a peak-to-edge distance of 0 mm. While 344 they clearly showed that for patients, whom have 345 a hip replacement for dysplasia in one hip, severe 346 OA will inevitably develop in the contralateral 347 hip if the aforementioned acetabular criteria are 348 not met. However, this investigation lacked a true 349 non-operated control group but did have a com-350 parison group (171 pts) without progression of 351 OA over the same timeframe. Unfortunately, a 352 major limitation to the study was that a substan-353 tial portion of the patients that progressed to OA 354 had evidence of mild OA at the time of inclusion 355 thus the outcomes are to some degree measure-356 ments of secondary OA progression [43]. 357

Further confounding our understanding of the 358 natural history of acetabular dysplasia, patients 359 often have additional pathologies. For example, 360 many patients have combined acetabular dyspla-361 sia with FAI. It is not clear to what extent FAI 362 impacts hip dysplasia and the development of 363 OA. Bardakos et al. showed that mild to moder-364 ate OA in hips with a pistol-grip CAM deformity 365 does not progress rapidly in all patients, with 366 one-third of their patients taking at least 10 years 367 to manifest signs of OA with some never showing 368 radiologic signs [24]. Further analysis found two 369 important variables associated with those that did 370 progress; the height of the trochanter relative to 371 the center of the femoral head and the presence of 372 acetabular retroversion. Their conclusion was 373 that a hip with cam impingement is not always 374 destined for end-stage arthritic degeneration [24]. 375 Other studies have found even larger percentages 376 of asymptomatic patients with cam deformity 377 that do not progress to OA. In the study by 378 Hartofilakidis et al., 82.3% of asymptomatic hips 379 with a CAM deformity remained free of OA for a 380 mean of 18.5 years [26]. Clearly the role of FAI 381 in the natural history of hip dysplasia requires 382 more study to determine which hips are at higher 383 risk of progression. 384

Recently, Wyles et al. published the most 385 comprehensive study of the natural history of 386 OA in patients with hip dysplasia [18]. Similar to 387 Murphy et al., they retrospectively studied 172 388 young patients (mean, 47 years-old) that had 389 undergone unilateral total hip arthroplasty 390 (THA). While the Murphy et al. study contained 391

426

patients with advanced Tönnis grades, all 392 patients in the Wyles et al. study had a Tönnis 393 grade 0 grade for the contralateral hip. These 394 hips were given a structural diagnosis of acetab-395 396 ular dysplasia (48 patients), FAI (74 patients) and normal (40 patients) and were evaluated for 397 OA progression. At a mean follow-up of 398 20 years, 35 patients underwent contralateral 399 THA; 16 (33%) with acetabular dysplasia, 13 400 (18%) with FAI and 6 (15%) patients with nor-401 402 mal morphology. They showed degenerative changes progressed more rapidly in the acetabu-403 lar dysplasia group with an increased probability 404 of undergoing a THA at 10- and 20-year follow-405 up compared to the FAI and normal morphology 406 cohorts. FAI was similar to structurally normal 407 408 hips in terms of progression to THA but patient with a CAM deformity and concomitant acetab-409 ular dysplasia developed OA more rapidly. From 410 411 their data they created 10- and 20-year prognostic tables predictive of osteoarthritic progression 412 (by Tönnis grade) based on the structural diag-413 414 nosis and initial Tönnis grade. Furthermore, based on their continuous multistate Markov 415 models, they proposed the following new thresh-416 olds for an increased risk for OA progression 417 and thus an indication for hip preservation sur-418 gery: femoral head lateralization >8 mm, femo-419 420 ral head extrusion index >0.20, acetabular depth to width index <0.30, LCEA <25° and Tönnis 421 angle $>8^\circ$. A major limitation of this study is that 422 all patients underwent an index THA and thus 423 the findings cannot be directly correlated to 424 highly active patients. 425

> Acetabular dysplasia can be classified into mild, moderate and severe forms using several well-established measurements. However, its diagnosis and natural history are complicated by the effects of acetabular and proximal femoral version as well as FAI. While the progression to OA in severe dysplasia is clear, surgical indications in mild and moderate forms continue to be refined.

Epidemiology

Acetabular dysplasia is one of the most common 427 causes of pre-arthritic hip pain, hip dysfunction, 428 and secondary OA [1, 2, 4, 44]. The infantile form 429 of acetabular dysplasia is considered a multifacto-430 rial disease with genetic, ethnic and environmen-431 tal risk factors [45, 46]. The intrauterine 432 environmental associations include decreased 433 uterine size with first-born children and breech 434 presentation, both of which restrict fetal leg 435 mobility. The incidence of breech birth is 2-4% in 436 the general population but 17-23% among 437 patients with infantile hip dysplasia [45, 47]. The 438 left hip is more commonly affected because of its 439 fetal position adducted against the sacrum [48]. 440 Although no genetic locus has been identified, a 441 hereditary component of developmental dysplasia 442 of the hip (DDH) is strongly supported on the 443 basis of increased risk in patients with a positive 444 family history and varying rates by ethnicity. 445 Extremely low rates of DDH are seen in the 446 African populations [49, 50] with the African 447 Bantu having an incidence of essentially zero 448 [51]. Much higher rates have been reported in 449 Native Americans [52] and the Sami in Norway 450 [53]. DDH is much more common in females but 451 the exact cause is uncertain; one theory being 452 increased joint laxity during the neonatal period 453 secondary to increased female sensitivity to the 454 maternal hormone relaxin [11, 54]. Another is that 455 females are twice as likely as males to be born 456 breech [9, 54]. 457

The prevalence of asymptomatic dysplasia in 458 the general population varies with ethnicity. 459 Caucasians have a 3-4% prevalence of hip dys-460 plasia, with females having a higher prevalence 461 than males [55-60]. Inoue et al. showed the prev-462 alence in men and women respectively to be 463 5.1% and 11.6% for the Japanese and 1.7% and 464 5.6% for the French [56]. Another study by Lau 465 et al. found the prevalence of dysplasia 466 $(CEA < 25^{\circ})$ to be similar between Chinese and 467 British men at 4.5% and 3.6% respectively, how-468 ever the same cohort showed a 50% reduction in 469 OA prevalence in the Chinese group (5.4% vs. 470 11.0%) [58]. It was not clear why the Chinese 471

group did not progress to radiographic OA at the 472 same rate. A recent study by Engesaeter et al. 473 looked at 2081 Norwegians (mean age, 19 years) 474 and found the prevalence of a Wiberg center-475 476 edge-angle (CEA) less than 20° to be 3.3% (4.3%in women and 2.4% in men) [61]. If the Wiberg 477 CEA threshold was increased to less than 25°, 478 20% (23% in women and 16% in men) of the 479 cohort had hip dysplasia [61]. This further con-480 firmed the higher prevalence of hip dysplasia in 481 482 Norway and agreed with previous Nordic Arthroplasty Registry findings [62, 63]. Although 483 the percentage of patients with dysplasia who 484 will ultimately progress to end-stage OA is 485 unknown, it has been documented that 25-50% 486 of primary hip OA is due to acetabular dysplasia 487 [30, 34, 64]. 488

Despite the plethora of studies investigating 489 risk factors for infantile hip dysplasia, there is a 490 491 scarcity of literature describing patient demographics and disease epidemiology for adoles-492 cent and adult patients, with most data coming 493 494 from single surgeon series reporting on symptomatic acetabular dysplasia [16, 65-68]. In a 495 series of 337 patients undergoing total hip arthro-496 plasty, Clohisy et al. found that 48% less than age 497 50 had acetabular dysplasia as the predisposing 498 factor for their OA [69]. A recent large multi-499 500 center study by Sankar et al. looked at disease epidemiology and patient demographics in dys-501 plastic patients treated with a periacetabular oste-502 otomy (PAO) in 950 consecutive patients [44]. 503 They demonstrated that symptomatic acetabular 504 dysplasia starts 1-3 years before surgical inter-505 506 vention and occurs predominantly in young (average 25.3 years), female, Caucasian patients 507 with a normal BMI (average 24.6). These find-508 ings are consistent with other reports in the litera-509 ture [70–73]. The same study found baseline 510 functional scores to be mean modified Harris Hip 511 Score (mHHS) of 61.8 and a mean UCLA activ-512 ity score of 6.6. The mean mHHS is slightly 513 lower than published elsewhere (61.8 vs. 66–70) 514 515 [71, 74] but the mean UCLA activity score is comparable with other authors [75]. 516

517 Lee et al. further evaluated the differences in 518 symptomatic hip dysplasia treated with PAO based on when the diagnosis was first obtained: 519 infancy, adolescence or adulthood [54]. They 520 found demographic differences between patients 521 diagnosed with hip dysplasia in infancy versus 522 adolescence/adulthood. There were more females 523 with left hip involvement and breech presentation 524 in the infant/DDH population while bilateral dis-525 ease (61% vs. 45%) was more common in the 526 adolescent population. The same study also 527 looked and family history and found that >50% 528 of all respondents had a family history of hip dis-529 ease with >40% being first order relatives. first 530 order relatives of adolescent/adult diagnosed 531 patients had a twofold increase in incidence of 532 hip replacement by age 65 compared to infant/ 533 DDH first order relatives (50% vs. 22%). 534 However, first order family members of infant/ 535 DDH patients were four times more likely to 536 have DDH themselves (59% vs. 16%). 537

"Rates of acetabular dysplasia vary widely 538 by gender and cultural origin with several 539 well accepted patient characteristics being 540 predictive of increased risk during 541 infancy. Once a dysplastic hip is present, 542 whether that hip will become symptomatic 543 is directly related to the necessity of treat-544 ment. Severity of dislocation and family 545 history along with cultural origin again 546 influence that symptomatology. The peri-547 acetabular osteotomy is commonly 548 employed for younger (<40yo) symptom-549 atic patients while a total hip replacement 550 is indicated for older patients (>40yo) with 551 Tönnis 2 changes or greater". 552

Clinical Presentation

553

Young children with hip dysplasia with or with-554 out subluxation typically do not present with any 555 complaints of hip pain or any apparent functional 556 limitations. More likely, children in the first 557 6-8 years of life will have relatively normal hip 558 function despite radiographic evidence of notable 559 hip dysplasia. However, with growth and 560 increased body mass during and post puberty, 561 older children and adolescents with acetabular 562

deficiency will variably become symptomatic, 563 particularly in the presence of hip joint sublux-564 ation. Signs include functional hip joint associ-565 ated fatigue and often a subtle, though progressive, 566 gluteus medius weakness limp (Trendelenburg). 567 This early onset weight bearing pain typically is 568 located laterally (trochanteric) and occurs sec-569 ondary to chronic gluteus medius fatigue. Later, 570 anterolateral groin pain secondary to chondro-571 labral strain and or injury variably develops. In 572 these relatively older patients, groin pain is 573 characteristically described as an anterior hip 574 centered discomfort often with catching or snap-575 ping. Groin pain typically occurs with hip motion 576 such as in pivoting, twisting, running, when aris-577 ing from a sitting position, and on initiating 578 579 walking.

On physical examination, the gait of most of 580 the younger children will appear to be normal. 581 On careful observation of older children and or 582 adolescents, there may be an abductor limp and/ 583 or a positive Trendelenburg sign on single leg 584 stance examination. The hip abductors may be 585 weak on resistance testing. Passive hip range of 586 motion is initially normal and often increased in 587 all planes, secondary to both relative joint laxity 588 and a variably deficient anterolateral acetabulum. 589 Later as the hip disease progresses, range of 590 motion can become restricted, associated with 591 the onset of painful impingement at the acetabu-592 lar chondrolabral junction. 593

594 Imaging

Hip joint dysplasia in the child occurs secondary 595 to abnormal growth of either the acetabulum, 596 femoral head, or both, in a non-dislocated hip 597 joint. The shape of the acetabular anlage and its 598 functional capacity to support weight bearing is 599 evaluated with a standing anterior posterior (AP) 600 radiograph. Typically the acetabular development 601 will be deficient anterolaterally. In addition, the 602 absence (or presence) of hip joint subluxation 603 (i.e. Shenton's line intact or not) is assessed. The 604 contour of the anterior and posterior edges of the 605 proximal femurs are visualized on the supine 606 frog lateral radiographs. In older children and 607

adolescents, further visualization of the anterior 608 acetabulum can be seen with the standing false 609 profile lateral radiograph [4]. Persistent hip sub-610 luxation after the age of 6 years portends a 611 guarded prognosis for maximal acetabular devel-612 opment for any given hip dysplasia [9]. If sublux-613 ation is noted on the standing AP pelvic 614 radiograph, a functional view (AP with hip 615 abducted and internally rotated) is obtained to 616 assess if the femoral head positionally reduces 617 back into the true acetabulum. Knowing that 618 positional reduction of femoral head subluxation 619 is possible, is very helpful in the preoperative 620 planning of joint reconstruction surgery. 621

Essential Imaging

- <u>Plain radiographs: For measurement of</u> <u>AI, LCEA</u>, Tonnis sourcil angle, teardrop morphology, and femoral deformity
- <u>MRI</u>: Used on occasion to assess development of the cartilaginous acetabulum and/or version
- <u>MRI arthrogram (MRA)</u>: For assessment of chondrolabral integrity in adolescents
- <u>CT</u>: For either assessment of version (femoral and acetabular) or for concomitant FAI

Normative values for acetabular index (AI) 622 (Coleman 1968; Tönnis 1976), lateral center angle 623AU5 (LCEA) [4, 34], Tonnis sourcil angle [4, 14] are 624 helpful in decision-making and are summarized 625 in Tables 5.1 and 5.2. We also assess the anterior 626 CEA [4, 14] in our older patients. The acetabular 627 tear drop morphology can be useful in assessing 628 acetabular development in late infancy/early 629

Table 5.1Suggested acetabular index (AI) guidelinet1.1values [14]t1.2

Age	Acetabular index	t1.3
1	<25	t1.4
3	<20	t1.5
6	<18	t1.6

 Table 5.2
 Lateral center-edge angle (LCEA) guideline values at skeletal maturity

	LCEA
Normal	≥30
Minimum	≥25
Poor prognosis	<20

childhood (Albinana et al. 1996; Smith et al.
1997). Similarly, Shenton's line, intact or not, is
very important in decision making, with chronic
subluxation always being a concern for a guarded
prognosis [9].

635 Non-operative Management

636 Childhood (4–10 Years Old)

If sufficient femoral head coverage is present and 637 the child is asymptomatic, one may observe until 638 there is evidence of failure to improve radio-639 graphically or until pain develops (Chap. 4, 640 Developmental Dysplasia of the Hip in Young 641 Children). Deferring operative treatment after 642 age 6 years (with or without symptoms) is not 643 indicated if hip is subluxated and/or there is per-644 sistent acetabular dysplasia in girls ≥ 8 years or 645 boys ≥ 9 years. 646

647 Older Child (≥11 Years/Adolescent)

Observe if asymptomatic, but it is essential to follow at least annually. Prognosis relatively guarded
in proportion to degree of subluxation and/or
acetabular dysplasia.

Non-operative Pitfalls

- Inappropriate continued observation in childhood despite subluxation and or lack of progressive improvement in acetabular development (AI) and femoral head coverage (LCEA)
- Loss of opportunity in younger patients (<10 years of age) of correcting

dysplasia with simpler procedures (acetabuloplasty and/or Salter innominate osteotomy)

• Failure to continue essential radiographic and clinical monitoring for minimally or asymptomatic adolescents/ young adults with hips at risk (acetabular dysplasia and subluxation)

Operative Management

Essential Surgical Techniques in Childhood (4–10 Years Old)

Direct surgical correction of acetabular dysplasia 655 can be broken into three different types: (1) ace-656 tabuloplasty, (2) redirectional osteotomies, and 657 (3) salvage procedures (Fig. 5.8). The decision as 658 to which procedure is most appropriate in 659 attempting to achieve correction of a specific 660 acetabular dysplasia is dictated by the principal 661 deficiency of development (acetabular anlage, tri-662 radiate cartilage or acetabular epiphysis), the age 663 of the patient, and whether the hip is completely 664 reduced. Currently, the incomplete acetabulo-665 plasties [76–80] have become the most popular 666<mark>AU6</mark> surgical approach in the correction of residual 667 dysplasia in the skeletal immature pelvis 668 (<11 years). 669

Acetabuloplasty

Pathologic shape or delay of the acetabular car-671 tilaginous anlage is often characterized by an 672 acetabulum with a relatively larger arc of curva-673 ture then of the femoral epiphysis (Fig. 5.4). 674 The intent of the acetabuloplasty is to correct 675 the pathological increased slope of the superior 676 anterolateral acetabulum (Fig. 5.9). Correcting 677 the acetabular insufficiency (abnormal shape) 678 without damaging the triradiate cartilage redi-679 rects the hip center to its natural location, reduc-680 ing femoral head subluxation. These acetabular 681 osteotomies compress the cartilaginous anlage 682

670<mark>AU8</mark>

t2.1 t2.2 t2.3 t2.4

t2.5

t2.6

652

653



AU7 Fig. 5.8 Types of acetabular corrective surgeries: Direct surgical correction of acetabular dysplasia can be broken into three different types: (a) acetabuloplasty, such as the Pemberton osteotomy shown here (b) redirectional oste-

which restores endochondral ossification pro-683 viding needed support for functional loading 684 685 (Fig. 5.10). Acetabuloplasty is best indicated in conditions with remaining acetabular cartilagi-686 nous anlage, a relatively capacious acetabulum 687 and or subluxation. Alternatively, satisfactory 688 correction can be achieved with a single innomi-689 nate (Salter) osteotomy. If the femoral head is 690 691 relatively large, the Salter redirectional osteotomy can be the preferred technique in attempt-692 ing to correct acetabular dysplasia in a younger 693 child. Following surgical correction of acetabu-694 lar dysplasia, an intraoperative arthrogram can 695 be very helpful in assessing femoral head cover-696 697 age achieved, both laterally and anteriorly (Fig. 5.11). 698

699	"Success is often judged by a radio-
700	graphically noting a reduction of the
701	<u>acetabular index, a medialized hip cen-</u>
702	ter, (from abnormally lateral to more
703	<u>normally medial), and a restored</u>
704	<u>Shenton's line. It is important to be</u>
705	<u>aware of the extent of the acetabular car-</u>
706	<u>tilaginous anlage when performing an</u>

otomies, such as the periacetabular osteotomy (PAO) shown here and (c) salvage procedures, such as the acetabular shelf procedure shown here

acetabuloplasty in the correction of ace-
tabular dysplasia. Over correction is very
possible which can potentiate late occur-
ring femoral acetabular impingement".707
708

711

712

Surgical Technique: Pemberton Acetabuloplasty (Figs. 5.9–5.11)

With the patient positioned supine on the operat-713 ing room table, a small circular roll is placed 714 behind the buttock in back of the affected extrem-715 ity. The approach to the hip and pelvis is made 716 through a skin excision located lateral to and par-717 alleling the iliac crest extending a couple of cen-718 timeters distal to the anterior superior iliac spine. 719 Using the electrocautery, subcutaneous flaps are 720 raised both medially and laterally to the superfi-721 cial fascia. The fascia overlying the tensor fascia 722 lata muscle is incised longitudinally and dissec-723 tion directed medially over the tensor and under 724 the sartorius, which protects the lateral femoral 725 cutaneous nerve lying just under the sartorius fas-726 cia. Dissection is continued bluntly until the lat-727 eral aspect of the rectus femoris tendon and 728 muscle are identified. The external oblique mus-729



cle is reflected of the iliac apophysis from lateral
to medial, exposing the apophyseal cartilage
which is sharply divided in half from anterior to
posterior so as to achieve exposure of the ilium.

The lateral ilium is subperiosteally exposed to 734 the palpable edge of the acetabulum then posteri-735 736 orly down to the lateral entrance of the sciatic notch (which can be probed with blunt dissecting 737 instrument). The lateral iliac cut is made first. 738 The course of the osteotomy begins anteriorly, 739 mid distance between the anterior superior iliac 740 spine (ASIS) and the anterior inferior iliac spine 741 742 (AIIS), and extends in a posterior direction. The

anterior and lateral line of the cut should be made 743 at least 11/2 cm proximal to the edge of the acetab-744 ulum. The cut is initiated with a straight narrow 745 osteotome extending in a posterior direction then 746 with a narrow curved osteotome around the ace-747 tabulum toward the triradiate cartilage. The 748 C-arm is brought in across from the surgeon and 749 the hip joint and posterior column are visualized 750 with 45° iliac oblique view. As monitored with 751 the C-arm, the course and extent of the curved 752 osteotomy can be precisely located one half way 753 between the acetabulum and the medial edge of 754 the posterior column. 755



Fig. 5.10 Restoration of hip development after an acetabuloplasty: (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) Patient from Fig. 5.4 with pathologic cartilaginous anlage (black arrow on drawing and yellow arrow on radiographs). (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) A Pemberton type osteotomy restores Shenton's line and the proper position of the chondrolabral

junction, however, note that initially the cartilaginous anlage still appears under-ossified (yellow arrow) as indicated by an increased acetabular anlage at age 2. (\mathbf{e} , \mathbf{f}) Four years later, at age 6, the anlage has ossified and the hip position has been maintained without iatrogenic over-coverage of the hip



Fig. 5.11 Measuring correction during an acetabuloplasty: (**a**) Correction of acetabular anlage under-coverage is often monitored by an arthrogram in order to visualize restoration of proper position of the chondrolabral junc-

tion (black arrow and "thorn"). (b) The gap between the iliac fragments is filled with an allograft (white arrow) and typically inherently stable after graft insertion

The medial ilium is subperiosteally exposed to 756 and beyond the brim of the pelvis; incising the 757 periosteum facilitates this exposure. A small 758 straight osteotome is then directed through the 759 lateral cut in a medial direction and is visualized 760 cutting through the most anterior 2-3 cm of the 761 medial wall of the ilium. A transverse cut potenti-762 ates anterior coverage and a more oblique cut 763 (medial cut made more distal than lateral) greater 764 lateral coverage. The medial cut is continued 765 with a curved osteotome, extending over the pel-766 vic brim, towards but not through the triradiate 767 cartilage, monitored with the C-arm [15]. At this 768 point the osteotomy will be near complete. 769

770	" <u>As the two fragments are separated with</u>
771	a laminar spreader, displacement of the
772	typical oblique cut (from proximal lateral
773	to distal medial) of the acetabular frag-
774	ment allows for marked improvement in
775	lateral and anterior femoral head
776	<u>coverage"</u> .

777 Improved femoral head coverage is monitored by the C-arm using both an AP and false 778 profile lateral views. In the younger child, femo-779 ral head coverage is provided by both the bony 780 acetabulum and by the cartilage anlage. An 781 arthrogram can be very helpful showing the true 782 extent of combined bone and cartilage head cov-783 erage (Fig. 5.11). Correction achieved should be 784 correlated with range of motion and adjusted to 785 assure there is 90–95° of passive hip flexion fol-786 lowing turning down the acetabular fragment. 787 The gap between the fragments is filled with a 788 structural allograft which is typically inherently 789 stable after graft impaction. Optionally, if needed 790 to assure graft stability, a small K-wire may be 791 used in smaller children and/or a 3.5 mm cortical 792 screw in older children, inserted in an antegrade 793 direction starting in the proximal iliac crest and 794 directed across the osteotomy (through the bone 795 graft) and into the ilium medially, just short of 796 the roof of the acetabulum. Bilateral Pemberton 797 osteotomies can be performed if needed. In 798 patients with either excessive femoral antever-799 sion and or coxa valga deformity, a proximal 800 redirectional femoral osteotomy can be concom-801 itantly performed. 802

The anteriorly prominent spike of bone on the 803 acetabulum fragment is subperiosteally exposed 804 and resected. The apophyseal cartilage is securely 805 repaired with interrupted #1 absorbable sutures, 806 the tensor-sartorius facia reapproximated and the 807 external oblique muscle reattached just lateral to 808 the apophyseal cartilage with running #1 absorb-809 able sutures. The subcutaneous and skin tissues 810 are closed with absorbable sutures. To protect the 811 osteotomy in children less than 4 years of age, a 812 one and half spica cast is placed. In older patients, 813 an abduction pillow is used for 6 weeks. Typically, 814 healing of the osteotomy is sufficient to allow 815 weight bearing as tolerated at 6-8 weeks post 816 operatively. 817

Pelvic Redirectional Osteotomies

A pelvic redirectional osteotomy can be single 819 innominate (childhood) or triple pelvic (adoles-820 cents/young adults) both being effective in cor-821 recting pathological acetabular insufficiency. 822 Salter [39] pioneered operative pelvic redirec-823 tional osteotomy correction of congenital acetab-824 ular deficiency [39, 81]. The single horizontal 825 osteotomy through the ilium allows for a consid-826 erable anterolateral acetabular redirection around 827 the relatively flexible pubic symphysis. 828

"In years past, the Salter osteotomy was 829 the procedure of choice in younger chil-830 dren. It is now used less frequently given 831 the current popularity of acetabuloplas-832 ties. However, the Salter osteotomy is still 833 the preferred surgical approach in the 834 correction of residual acetabular dyspla-835 sia in the very young child (<5 yrs of age) 836 in which there is relatively little sublux-837 ation and an arc of curvature of the ace-838 tabulum that is quite similar to the 839 corresponding arc of curvature of the 840 femoral head". 841

Surgical Technique: Single Innominate (Salter) Pelvic Osteotomy

The patient positioning and initial exposure to the 844 ilium is as described above for performing the 845 Pemberton acetabuloplasty. Following division 846

818

842

of the iliac apophysis, both tables of the ilium are 847 dissected subperiosteally until the sciatic notch is 848 encountered, both medially and laterally. Care is 849 taken to avoid penetrating the periosteum as the 850 851 sciatic notch is dissected subperiosteally. A small curved clamp, such as a Satinsky, is used to pass 852 a # 1 Vicryl suture through the sciatic notch 853 which is then tied to a Gigli saw, so that the saw 854 then carefully passed through the sciatic notch. 855 Crego or similar retractors are inserted into the 856 sciatic notch medially and laterally in order to 857 protect the adjacent soft tissues. An oscillating 858 saw is used to cut the ilium beginning just distal 859 to the ASIS and directed towards the sciatic 860 notch, terminating about 1 cm anterior to it. The 861 Gigli saw is then used to complete the iliac oste-862 otomy so that an angle is created between the 863 posteriorly directed saw cut and the anteriorly 864 directed Gigli cut. Creating such an angle will 865 assist in stabilizing the rotated acetabular frag-866 ment against the ilium. The ischiopubic fragment 867 is grasped with a pointed bone forceps just ante-868 869 rior to the sciatic notch osteotomy which effectively helps rotate the acetabulum anteriorly in 870 order to improve anterior and lateral coverage of 871 the femoral epiphysis. The prominent anterior 872 extension of the acetabular fragment is osteoto-873 mized (which will be a tricortical bone graft 874 875 wedge) to be impacted into the gap between the ilium and the acetabular fragment. The osteot-876 omy is transfixed with two threaded Kirschner 877 wires inserted antegrade under AP fluoroscopy, 878 just proximal to the triradiate cartilage. The sta-879 bility of the osteotomy is assessed manually. The 880 881 C-arm, with or without a concomitant arthrogram, is used to assure that satisfactory femoral 882 head coverage has been achieved. 883

Repair of the split apophyseal cartilage is 884 achieved securely with interrupted absorbable 885 sutures. The threaded Kirschner wires are then 886 887 cut about 1 cm proud from the iliac repaired apophysis in order to facilitate easier removal. 888 Closure of the tensor-Sartorius fascia, reattach-889 ment of the external oblique muscle and subcuta-890 neous and skin tissues is as previously described 891 for the Pemberton acetabuloplasty. Following 892 wound dressing application, a single-leg hip 893 spica is applied. The patient is followed up in 894

approximately 2 weeks for clinical and radiographic assessment. The cast is removed at 6 weeks post-operatively and ambulation initiated with a walker until healing is confirmed radiographically.

Essential Surgical Techniques	900
in Childhood (>11 Years Old to Young	901
Adults)	902

Addressing Pathology Subsequent903to Ossification of the Acetabular904Anlage905

Once the acetabular cartilaginous anlage has 906 completely ossified and if the triradiate cartilage 907 is still biologically active, a triple innominate 908 osteotomy should be considered when attempting 909 to achieve satisfactory mobility of the acetabular 910 fragment and, in turn, adequate redirection and 911 correction of acetabular dysplasia (Fig. 5.12). For 912 older children and young adolescents, especially 913 those with significant remaining triradiate 914 growth, greater acetabular mobility is desirable/ 915 necessary so as to achieve optimal hip joint sta-916 bility not only laterally but also anteriorly and 917 posteriorly as needed [14, 76, 77, 82, 83]. With 918 the triple innominate redirectional osteotomy, the 919 surgeon can satisfactorily mobilize the acetabular 920 fragment in attempting to achieve redirection of 921 the acetabulum and optimal hip joint stability. 922

In the younger child, the surgical exposure 923 during triple innominate osteotomy is extra-924 periosteal, an essential modification so as to not 925 injure the triradiate cartilage and to facilitate 926 greater mobility with less stress on fixation 927 devices. This technique allows for optimal ace-928 tabular redirection (achieving desirable acetabu-929 lar version) in restoring hip stability; particularly 930 for the younger child with global deficiency (e.g. 931 Down syndrome, Spina Bifida). 932

Surgical Technique: Triple Innominate Osteotomy (Fig. 5.12)

The patient is positioned supine on a flat radiolucent table. A roll is placed behind the contralateral knee in order to maintain hip flexion, which helps both to flex the pelvis and to flatten the 938

933



Fig. 5.12 Triple innominate osteotomy. A 9-year-old male (bone age, 7 years) with a history of viral transverse myelitis secondary to chemotherapy who is functionally a mild diplegic. He is a community ambulator (GMFCS II) with AFO braces and presented with gait deterioration and

pain with insufficient lateral and superior coverage of the left hip (a). The patient underwent a triple innominate osteotomy (b, 3 months post-operatively). (c) Two-years later, after hardware removal, the patient had restoration of coverage, increased function and resolution of pain

lumbar lordosis. The initial approach for the tri-939 940 ple innominate is as previously described for the Pemberton Acetabuloplasty. Following exposure 941 of the ilium, the interval between the tensor and 942 sartorius is identified. Dissection then proceeds 943 within this interval proximal to the brim of the 944 pelvis. The lateral iliac apophysis is then reflected 945 946 and the lateral ilium dissected subperiosteally until the sciatic notch is identified. The sciatic 947 notch is also identified medially. The subsequent 948 dissection involves an extraperiosteal exposure of 949 the ischium and pubis while visualizing and pro-950 tecting the obturator nerve within the retroperito-951 952 neum. In order to accomplish this exposure, the fascia of the iliacus is released from the rectus 953 tendon and the hip is flexed in order to relax the 954 iliacus and psoas muscles. This relaxation allows 955 identification of the iliopectineal bursa just 956 medial to the AIIS with blunt dissection medially 957 to expose the pubis. A double-pronged Hohmann 958 959 retractor is then inserted into the superior pubic ramus medially to retract the iliopsoas while 960 maintaining the hip in flexion to protect the fem-961 oral nerve. The interval between the psoas tendon 962 sheath and the hip capsule is developed by open-963 ing the iliopectineal bursa medial to the hip cap-964 965 sule in order to access the ischium. Next, with the hip still held in flexion, the iliacus muscle is gen-966 tly separated from the periosteum of the ilium so 967 that the iliopectineal fascia can be exposed at the 968 attachment to the iliopectineal line. In order to 969 970 access the retroperitoneum and to protect the obturator nerve, the fascia is then incised and 971

released from the iliopectineal line. The golden 972 coloured retroperitoneal fat is exposed, and the 973 obturator vein and nerve are carefully identified 974 and protected by packing a Ray-Tec sponge 975 extraperiosteally along the quadrilateral surface 976 of the acetabulum. The pubic periosteum can be 977 incised and the remaining iliopectineal fascia 978 released from the iliopectineal line. The true pel-979 vis is then exposed extraperiosteally from the 980 ischial tuberosity to the iliopectineal line. 981

The pubic osteotomy is performed medial to 982 the pubic limb of the triradiate cartilage using a 983 Gigli saw. In older children the pubis can be dis-984 sected subperiosteally; however, in younger chil-985 dren the periosteum is cut with the osteotomy. The 986 anterior pubic periosteum is incised and the root of 987 the pubis carefully dissected subperiosteally using 988 a right-angled clamp. The obturator nerve is pro-989 tected during this part of the procedure. 990 Alternatively, the entire pubic root can be exposed 991 extraperiosteally. A #1 Vicryl suture is passed 992 through the obturator foramen and used to pass a 993 Gigli saw. The root of the pubis is protected either 994 in an extra- or sub-periosteal fashion and a trans-995 verse pubic osteotomy performed using the Gigli 996 saw. Care is taken to orient the osteotomy as per-997 pendicular as possible to the long axis of the pubis. 998

"To achieve maximal mobility of the ace-
999999tabular fragment in children and adoles-
cents, it is very helpful to cut the
surrounding periosteum to effectively
mobilize the superior pubic ramus frag-
1003
ments after the osteotomy".1001

Next the ischial osteotomy is performed using 1005 a Ganz osteotome that is passed between the ilio-1006 pectineal bursa and the hip capsule and posi-1007 tioned at the level of the infracotyloid groove. 1008 1009 Using both a 50° cephalad and oblique radiographic (C-arm) guidance, the medial and lateral 1010 cortices of the ischium are osteotomized com-1011 pletely, terminating just distal to the ischial 1012 spine. The osteotome as visualized on the 50° 1013 cephalad view is rotated back and forth in the 1014 transverse anatomic plane in order to confirm 1015 that the ischium is completely cut. Similar to the 1016 pubic cut, the ischial periosteum is cut simulta-1017 neously while performing the osteotomy. AP 1018 fluoroscopy is used to select the line of the 1019 intended iliac osteotomy sufficiently proximal to 1020 1021 the acetabulum to allow for later stabilization with screws and/or threaded guide wires. A #1 1022 Vicryl suture is passed through the sciatic notch 1023 1024 and used to pass a Gigli saw. The adjacent soft tissue are protected with Hohmann retractors. 1025 An oscillating saw is used to divide the ilium 1026 from anterior to posterior aiming slightly in a 1027 caudal direction and terminating approximately 1028 1 cm anterior to the iliopectineal line. The Gigli 1029 saw that has been passed through the sciatic 1030 notch is used to complete the iliac osteotomy in 1031 order to create a small cephalad directed angle 1032 1033 into which the rotated acetabulum can be stabilized. To redirect and control the position of the 1034 acetabulum, a 4 mm Schanz screw is inserted 1035 into the supra-acetabular ilium and a pointed 1036 bone clamp applied to the root of the pubis. The 1037 acetabulum is redirected such that the sourcil is 1038 1039 oriented horizontally and the anterior-posterior acetabular walls are balanced appropriately 1040 across the femoral head (i.e. no "crossover" 1041 sign). Provisional fixation is obtained using 1042 2 mm Kirschner wires and an intraoperative 1043 radiographs obtained to confirm appropriate 1044 1045 reorientation of the acetabulum. On occasion, an intraoperative arthrogram is performed to con-1046 firm that the hip is well reduced. The "thorn 1047 sign" is used to identify lateral coverage of the 1048 head of the femur and overall rotation of the ace-1049 tabulum. The provisional fixation is then 1050 1051 removed and replaced with cortical screws or Steinmann pins. The anterior extension of the 1052

acetabular fragment is osteotomized and used as 1053 a tricortical graft within the supra-acetabular 1054 ilium. The graft is transfixed with one anterior 1055 cortical iliac screw. The hip range of motion is 1056 assessed for impingement. 1057

Closure is performed in a layered fashion. If 1058 the anterior superior iliac spine has been osteoto-1059 mized, it is reattached with intraosseous O-Vicryl. 1060 Repair of the iliac apophysis, closure of the 1061 tensor-sartorius interval, reattachment of the 1062 external oblique, subcutaneous and skin closure 1063 are as previously described. Typically, fixation is 1064 sufficient to obviate the need for spica casting, 1065 patients are mobilized as appropriate on post-1066 operative day #1. Neurologically disabled chil-1067 dren are, as necessary, immobilized in an 1068 abduction pillow. 1069

1070

1071

1072

Surgical Technique: Ganz Periacetabular Osteotomy (PAO)

(Figs. 5.13, 5.14, 5.15, and 5.16)

If the triradiate cartilage appears to be closing or 1073 is closed, performing a periacetabular osteotomy 1074 (Ganz) achieves both maximal mobility and the 1075 potential to redirect the acetabulum into the 1076 desired location (Fig. 5.12) [5, 8, 16, 84]. The 1077 patient is positioned supine on a radiolucent 1078 table. The skin excision again parallels the iliac 1079 crest laterally and extends more distally down 1080 onto the thigh. Exposure is as described for the 1081 Pemberton acetabuloplasty, as is the initial ilio-1082 femoral dissection. When reflecting the external 1083 oblique muscle from lateral to medial in a skele-1084 tally mature patient, the apophysis will be ossi-1085 fied, so the tissue plane is between the external 1086 oblique and the periosteum of the iliac crest. 1087

Next, the medial ilium is subperiosteally 1088 exposed and an interval is developed between 1089 the sartorius and rectus tendon. The ASIS (with 1090 the attached sartorius muscle) is osteotomized 1091 through an approximately 3 cm oblique cut in a 1092 lateral to medial, proximal to distal direction. 1093 The interval between the sartorius and the 1094 attached ASIS medially and the rectus muscle/ 1095 tendon complex laterally is developed. Deeper 1096 to this, the same interval is further developed 1097 between the rectus laterally and the iliacus, ilio-1098 capsularis, and iliopsoas muscles medially. With 1099



Fig. 5.13 Periacetabular osteotomy (PAO) Ischial Cuts: The ischium is partially cut (**a**) medially (**b**) centrally and (**c**) laterally in sequence. (**d**) The cuts should extend pos-

teriorly to allow the final posterior column cut (Fig. 5.14) to connect

the hip slightly flexed, the dissection extends in 1100 a posterior direction medial to the hip capsule 1101 and lateral to the psoas tendon. A long large 1102 Mayo type sutures is essential in expanding this 1103 interval around the hip capsule posteriorly down 1104 to the ischium inferior to the acetabulum. The 1105 anterior, medial and lateral cortical surface of 1106 the ischium is palpated with the scissors tip. 1107 This interval is developed so as to allow for pas-1108 sage of first a hip skid (if possible), then the 1109 Ganz angled osteotome inserted down to the 1110

ischium with the hip skid protecting the sur-1111 rounding soft tissues. The ischial cut starts in 1112 the infracotyloid groove just inferior to the ace-1113 tabulum, extending the cut posteriorly around 1114 the acetabulum and then proximally, ending 1115 near the level of the base of the ischial spine. AP 1116 and 45° iliac oblique C-arm views are essential 1117 in assuring the correct placement of the osteo-1118 tome. The medial ischial cortex is cut first, in 1119 turn, the middle and lateral cuts are completed. 1120 The lateral cut will be shorter ($<1\frac{1}{2}$ cm), the 1121



Fig. 5.14 Periacetabular osteotomy (PAO) Posterior Column Cuts: The final cut of the PAO is to split the posterior column. It is important to complete this cut both medially (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) and laterally (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) . (\mathbf{a}) A "flag" osteotome can be used to follow the false and true pelvis for the medial cut while monitoring the position within the poste-

osteotome directed posteriorly and medially
away from the adjacent sciatic nerve. The leg is
externally rotated and a foot hand-held to detect
any muscle contraction which might suggest
sciatic nerve irritation.

The second cut is an osteotomy of the superior
pubic ramus. The medial ilium is subperiosteally
exposed down to the pelvic brim medially then
distally to the obturator foramen. Anteriorly,

rior column on the lateral view. (b) It should connect with the medial cut of the ischium (see Fig. 5.13a). (c) A straight osteotome is used complete the cut—aligning the lateral edge with the lateral edge of the ischium (see Fig. 5.13c)

exposure is extended to and beyond the iliopec-1131 tineal eminence onto the superior pelvic ramus. A 1132 pointed Homan type is driven into the most 1133 medial anterior ramus as a retractor for the ilio-1134 muscle and neurovascular bundle. psoas 1135 Subperiosteal (Crego) type retractors are inserted 1136 around the ramus through the obturator foramen 1137 proximally (first) and then distally, protecting the 1138 obturator nerve. The distal retractor should be 1139



Fig. 5.15 Periacetabular osteotomy (PAO) Correction: Once free, the acetabular fragment is repositioned to provide anterior coverage (**a**) that permits 90° of hip flexion (**b**). Additionally, (**c**) the fragment should be repositioned

slightly medial to the proximal retractor. The
superior ramus osteotomy should slope from lateral to medial beginning at a point at least 1 cm
medial to the iliopectineal eminence to assure the
hip joint is not entered during the completion of
the osteotomy.

- 1146 "Palpating the adductor muscle for con-
- 1147 tracture when placing the Crego retrac-
- 1148 tors and/or cutting the superior pubic
- 1149 *ramus helps to further protect the obtura*-
- 1150 *tor nerve*".

to provide significant lateral coverage as judged by an AP radiograph. (d) An arthrogram can help judge the position of the un-ossified cartilaginous epiphysis and position of the labrum

To perform the iliac osteotomy followed by 1151 the posterior column osteotomy, further expo-1152 sure is necessary. The quadrilateral plate is sub-1153 periosteally exposed down to the sciatic notch 1154 and base of the ischial spine. A point is marked 1155 on the false pelvis just lateral to the brim of the 1156 pelvis which corresponds with the proximal 1157 extent of the sciatic notch. To further protect soft 1158 tissues, a sub-periosteal retractor is placed along 1159 the lateral wall of the ilium in line with the 1160 intended course of the iliac osteotomy. The ilium 1161



Fig. 5.16 Periacetabular osteotomy (PAO): (a) A 16-year-old female with significant lateral and anterior under-coverage, pain but no labral tear or signs of arthritis underwent a PAO (Figs. 5.13–5.16). (b) Two years later

1162 is cut with a power saw to point marked (see1163 above) on false pelvis.

1164	"The posterior column cut begins at the
1165	end of the iliac cut and extends distally to
1166	a point near or at the most proximal extent
1167	of the initial ischial cut (first cut). The cut
1168	should be centered equal distance from
1169	the posterior edge of the acetabulum and
1170	the edge of the posterior column. The
1171	C-arm (45° iliac oblique view) is critical in
1172	monitoring the direction of the osteotomy.
1173	As the distal portions of the lateral cortex
1174	is osteotomized, care must be taken to min-
1175	imize injury to the sciatic nerve. The hip is
1176	extended, abducted and externally rotated
1177	and a hand is placed on the foot".

Having completed all osteotomies, a Shantzscrew is inserted into the acetabular fragment justsuperior to the acetabulum and a T-handle chuck

the osteotomy is well healed, note the restoration of Shenton's line and hip center. The patient is now pain free returned to sport

attached. The fragment is carefully mobilized 1181 which effectively completes the osteotomies, 1182 freeing the fragment from the surrounding intact 1183 periosteum. The T-handle is further secured to the 1184 acetabular fragment with a curved (lobster claw) 1185 bone fragment. Correction desired is typically 1186 achieved by adducting, medializing and anterior 1187 tilting (extending) of the acetabular fragment. 1188 Provisional fixation is achieved with 3/32 K-wires. 1189

"Correction achieved is assessed with the	
C-arm. Adjustments are made so as to	1191
optimize coverage, medialization and ace-	1192
tabular version. The range of motion is	1193
assessed, flexion to 90° and abduction to	1194
30° should be present. If not, the correc-	1195
tion obtained should be decreased".	1196

The acetabular fragment is secured with cortical screws, inserted in the same direction as the previously placed K-wires. Final correction is 1199



AU10 Fig. 5.17 Salvage procedure: Shelf Arthroplasty. (a) A patient with in incongruent femoral head and acetabulum underwent a shelf osteotomy (b). Two years later, the

again assessed by C-arm and hip motion again 1200 assessed. If internal rotation in 90° of flexion is 1201 1202 limited (<15-20°) and a head-neck offset deficiency is noted on pre-operative radiographs, an 1203 anterior arthrotomy is performed and an antero-1204 1205 lateral head-neck osteochondroplasty performed which typically allows for improved internal rota-1206 tion in flexion [85]. If opened, the capsule is 1207 1208 closed. The ASIS and attached sartorius muscle are reattached with #5 permanent suture, placed 1209 through the drill holes in the ilium and around the 1210 1211 base of the ASIS. The tensor/sartorius fascia is reapproximated and the external oblique muscle 1212 sutured to the soft tissue on the anterolateral edge 1213 1214 of the ilium. The subcutaneous and skin tissues are closed in layers. Patients are mobilized on 1215 post-operative day #1, and early weight bearing is 1216 encouraged for patients with good bone quality. 1217

1218 Irreducible Hip Joint

For those hips unable to achieve a concentric
reduction, salvage procedures, rather than redirectional, are indicated. These involve either
moving the ilium itself laterally (i.e. Chiari-type)
[86–89] or augmenting extracapsular bone with a
bone graft (i.e. shelf arthroplasty) to provide femoral head coverage [90, 91].

1226 "Importantly, for satisfactory outcome of
1227 either a pelvic redirectional osteotomy or
1228 an acetabuloplasty, it is essential that ana1229 tomical reduction of the femoral head into
1230 the true acetabulum is possible. In cases
1231 in which this is not possible, a salvage

patient has healed the shelf and the extracapsular arthroplasty has influenced restoration of the hip shape (white arrow)

type procedure should be considered so as1232to provide extracapsular stability of the1233hip joint Fig. 5.17)".1234

1235

Surgical Technique: Chiari Osteotomy

The approach for the Chiari osteotomy is quite 1236 similar as to the approach for Bernese PAO and or 1237 triple innominate osteotomy. Subperiosteal expo-1238 sure of both the lateral and medial walls of the 1239 ilium is obtained. Medially, the subperiosteal dis-1240 section extends to and beyond the brim of the pel-1241 vis down to and into the sciatic notch, and distally 1242 to the base of the ischial spine. Laterally, the 1243 abductor muscle is detached from the iliac crest 1244 and subperiosteal dissection extended down to 1245 and into the sciatic notch. When exposing later-1246 ally, subperiosteal exposure is carried as far dis-1247 tally as possible. In some instances, this may 1248 include distally elevating proximally displaced 1249 lateral labral chondral tissues (distally, without 1250 violating the lateral capsule). Dissecting in the 1251 notch with a sponge facilitates exposure. The 1252 osteotomy begins anteriorly at a point half way 1253 between the ASIS and AIIS and extends posteri-1254 orly (similar to the iliac cut of the previously 1255 described Ganz PAO) but extends across and 1256 through the posterior column, exiting at the sciatic 1257 notch. To both optimize the eventual displace-1258 ment of the fragments and provide enhanced oste-1259 otomy stabilization, the osteotomy should be 1260 angulated approximately 15° cephalad in the 1261 frontal plane. Completion of the osteotomy is per-1262 formed with a power saw beginning anteriorly 1263 and extending towards the sciatic notch. 1264 Completion of osteotomy within the notch can be 1265 achieved with a Gigli saw, cutting anteriorly and
laterally out of the notch, or with an osteotome
cutting into the notch. In doing so, medial and lateral large Hohmann retractors are subperiosteally
placed in the notch, serving to protect the gluteal
vessels and sciatic nerve.

"Once completed, the distal fragment is 1272 displaced medially, which variably effects 1273 improved superior capsular coverage by 1274 the osteotomy surface of the proximal 1275 fragment. Effort must be made to assure 1276 that sufficient displacement occurs but 1277 also that the distal fragment does not dis-1278 place too posteriorly". 1279

Improved lateral coverage can be augmented 1280 with insertion of a shelf augmentation, which 1281 also helps to minimize the variably occurring 1282 osseous offset between the two pelvic fragments. 1283 The osteotomy is transfixed with K-wires 1284 (inserted proximal-distal/lateral-medial) 1285 in younger patients (<10 years). For older patients, 1286 1287 and/or when no cast immobilization is planned, the osteotomy is fixated with large fragment 1288 (4.5 mm) cortical screws and cast immobilization 1289 is not required. 1290

Typically, a capsulotomy is not performed. 1291 However, if there is considerable subluxation, 1292 1293 performing an anterior capsulotomy can help minimize the extent of subsequent hip joint insta-1294 bility. The capsulotomy is performed anteriorly, 1295 does not extend superolaterally, but correctly 1296 extends through the most medial capsule to the 1297 medial edge of the true acetabulum. This potenti-1298 1299 ates femoral head medialization which in turn makes it possible to perform a more distal Chiari 1300 osteotomy cut (which is desired). When repairing 1301 the capsulotomy, it is critical to achieve a very 1302 competent capsulorrhaphy as the capsule later 1303 serves as an interpositional arthroplasty, essential 1304 in performing a Chiari displacement osteotomy. 1305 The medial and lateral ilial soft tissues are 1306 securely repaired both to each other and to the 1307 iliac crest with #1 Vicryl suture. The tensor sarto-1308 rius interval is closed and the external oblique is 1309 also reattached to the soft tissues just lateral to 1310 1311 the iliac crest. The subcutaneous and skin tissues are closed in layers. 1312

In younger patients, hips are protected with an 1313 abduction pillow, and kept non-weightbearing for 1314 5-6 weeks. Younger patients are then mobilized 1315 as possible with protected weight bearing using a 1316 walker and/or crutches. Older patients whose 1317 osteotomies have been fixed with cortical screws 1318 are mobilized as possible post operatively. Weight 1319 bearing is limited until early bone healing is pres-1320 ent (6-8 weeks) and then weight bearing is grad-1321 ually increased. 1322

1323

1324

Surgical Technique: Shelf Arthroplasty (Figs. 5.8 and 5.17)

Shelf arthroplasty can at times be very effective 1325 in the treatment of problematic hip joint sublux-1326 ation secondary to various developmental hip 1327 joint pathologies, in older children and adoles-1328 cents. The surgical approach in all cases includes 1329 first achieving femoral head reduction and then 1330 providing stabilization of what often is a complex 1331 hip joint subluxation. For the shelf arthroplasty, it 1332 is desirable to use either a screw-plate or screw-1333 washer stabilization of the shelf to optimize 1334 immediate post-operative early hip joint stabili-1335 zation. The combination of surgical reduction as 1336 necessary and secured shelf arthroplasty has 1337 proven to be very effective for the patient with 1338 severe hip subluxation and deformity. 1339

"A relatively "fixed" shelf provides imme-1340 diate/early important stabilization follow-1341 ing surgical reduction of the previously 1342 subluxated hip in patients with severe 1343 acetabular insufficiency secondary to 1344 neuromuscular disorders such as cerebral 1345 palsy and Charcot-Marie-Tooth Disease. 1346 A surgically secured shelf also provides 1347 early stability in the containment treat-1348 ment of Perthes disease". 1349

The procedure is performed with the patient 1350 positioned supine on a flat radiolucent table with 1351 a small bump under the buttock and lower back. 1352 The hip and pelvis are exposed anteriorly through 1353 an iliofemoral approach identical to that described 1354 for the Pemberton Acetabuloplasty. If the femoral 1355 head is notably subluxated and a capsulotomy 1356 and capsulorrhaphy are to be performed, the rec-1357 tus tendon is transected 1 cm distal to insertion 1358

and the muscle complex reflected distally to 1359 achieve complete capsular exposure. A near hori-1360 zontal capsulotomy is performed, starting 1 cm 1361 lateral to the acetabular rim sloping slightly from 1362 1363 proximal lateral to distal medial. To facilitate essential medialization of the head into the true 1364 acetabulum, the capsulotomy must be extended 1365 to the medial rim of the acetabulum. Problematic 1366 musculotendinous and ligamentous contractures 1367 may preclude obtaining a satisfactory reduction 1368 1369 of the femoral head into the true acetabulum and/ or achieving satisfactory hip motion after reduc-1370 tion. To deal with this, a proximal femoral oste-1371 otomy (PFO) is designed as dictated by the 1372 patient pathology. Components of the PFO 1373 include shortening of the femur by ~1.5 cm, rota-1374 1375 tion as indicated to correct excessive anteversion and increasing varus of neck shaft angle to better 1376 seat the femoral head into the acetabulum. The 1377 PFO is completed through a second incision 1378 using a standard lateral approach to the proximal 1379 femur. The timing of the PFO during the proce-1380 dure is based on need. If femoral shortening is 1381 required then the PFO should be done prior to 1382 attempting open reduction. 1383

Once the head is reduced into the acetabulum 1384 and the capsulorrhaphy complete (if necessary), 1385 the shelf acetabuloplasty is performed. The anter-1386 osuperior aspect of the acetabulum is located uti-1387 lizing an AP fluoroscopic image. A series of 1388 unicortical holes are made just above the acetab-1389 ulum, using a 3.2 mm drill through the outer table 1390 (2 cm deep, directed 20° cephalad) 1391

1392 "Starting anterior and moving posterior –
1393 just above and as close as possible to the
1394 superior acetabular – the shelf must ana1395 tomically about the hip capsule without
1396 penetrating into acetabular articular
1397 surface".

Once the line of drill holes is complete, they 1398 are connected from anterior to posterior using 1399 small curettes and dental burrs, directing the dis-1400 section so the slot being created is immediately 1401 adjacent to the capsule and directed proximally. 1402 The trough should be deep enough to abut but not 1403 penetrate the inner table. After the trough is cre-1404 ated, proceed in harvesting the outer table bone 1405

graft. An oscillating saw is used to cut off the top 1406 of the iliac crest (saved as a source of bone graft) 1407 and then a curved osteotome is used to cut three 1408 adjacent longitudinal Cortico-Cancellous strips 1409 from the outer (or inner table). The strips should 1410 be made as long as possible, but be sure to leave 1411 enough intact. One should leave room in the dis-1412 tal outer table to accommodate subsequent plate 1413 fixation of the shelf. The total width of the com-1414 bined strips should be roughly the length of the 1415 previously constructed trough. Depending on the 1416 volume of graft harvested, you may have to fash-1417 ion additional corticocancellous strips from a tri-1418 cortical allograft to augment both the thickness 1419 and width of the bony shelf. 1420

The shelf is constructed by laying the graft 1421 strips in the trough side-by-side starting posterior 1422 and moving anterior. Tamp each individual strip 1423 deep into the trough abutting immediately on the 1424 capsule. Use the initially obtained osteotomized 1425 top of the ilium (a tricortical autograft) to press fit 1426 and backfill the superior aspect of the trough and 1427 reinforce the shelf. The shelf will become more 1428 stable as the trough is tightly filled with graft 1429 reinforcing its superior surface. A Freer elevator 1430 is inserted between the shelf and the capsule and 1431 the C-arm is used to assess there is a tight fit 1432 between shelf and capsule. 1433

To assure the shelf remains impacted against 1434 the capsule, the shelf is secured in place with 1435 either a plate and/or abutting screws. A small 1436 fragment (distal radius type) T-plate is appro-1437 priately bent and secured with 2-3 bicortical 1438 screws through the ilium and 2 locking screws 1439 through the shelf. The plate is contoured and 1440 fixated between the pelvis and graft so as to 1441 both hold the composite graft in-place and 1442 compress the shelf against the capsule. 1443 Alternatively stabilize the shelf by inserting 1444 two cortical screws abutting firmly against the 1445 proximal surface of the bony shelf in a blocking 1446 strategy (washers with points are essential in 1447 achieving secure screw head purchase on the 1448 lateral edges of the shelf). 1449

"Once the shelf arthroplasty has been1450secured. Final C-arm views include an AP1451(in abduction and adduction) and false1452profile lateral (in neutral extension and1453

1454flexion). Assess femoral head coverage1455and the desired immediate adjacent con-1456tact, with the capsule interposed between1457the head and the shelf. Also, hip motion1458should be confirmed, with flexion to 90°1459and abduction to 25–30° mandatory so as1460to avoid impingement".

In closing the conjoined rectus tendon (if tran-1461 sected in the approach) repaired with #1 Ethibond. 1462 Repair of the apophyseal cartilage, closure of the 1463 tensor-sartorius interval, and reattachment of the 1464 external oblique and subcutaneous and cutaneous 1465 is performed, as previously described. The patient 1466 is placed into an A-frame long leg cast bilaterally 1467 with the knees slightly flexed. After 5-6 weeks in 1468 the A-frame cast the patient is converted to an 1469 A-frame brace and three times daily range of 1470 1471 motion exercises initiated. At 3 months, the patients can begin weightbearing based on X-ray 1472 evidence of graft incorporation, continuing with 1473 the A-frame brace at night. 1474

Operative Pitfalls

- Failure to use C-arm monitoring while performing an acetabuloplasty risks inadvertently extending the osteotome into the acetabulum and/or the bone graft into and through the tri-radiate cartilage.
- Overcorrective anterolateral coverage, particularly when performing an acetabuloplasty, risks later development of symptomatic FAI.
- Inadequate fixation risks a postoperative loss of reduction following Salter osteotomy; especially in a small pelvis.
- Attempting to achieve improved coverage with a pelvic procedure without first obtaining satisfactory reduction of the femoral head into the true acetabulum risks persistent postoperative subluxation.
- Exacerbating posterior deficiency and the potential for early redislocation by overcorrection can occur with a combination of acetabuloplasty (or single

innominate osteotomy) and an overzealous proximal femoral derotational osteotomy that corrects for anteversion.

- Attempting to correct global deficiency associated with myelodysplasia or Down syndrome, with either an acetabuloplasty or single innominate, may fail because of posterior acetabular deficiency. In these cases, a triple innominate osteotomy is typically necessary.
- Lack of familiarity of the modification of the Smith Peterson approach necessary to achieve access to anterior ischial and superior pubic ramus will make it technically near impossible to safely complete either a triple innominate or PAO osteotomy.
- Failure to complete the posterior column osteotomy of an attempted Ganz PAO and mobilize the acetabulum fragment will limit achieving both satisfactory redirection and medialization of the acetabulum.
- Failure to stabilize a triple innominate or Ganz PAO risks early post-operative loss of acetabular reorientation.
- If a Chiari osteotomy is too proximal, relative to the joint capsule, the potential for improved stability provided to the femoral head is notably compromised.
- Allowing excessive posterior displacement of the distal Chiari fragment risks injury to the sciatic nerve.
- Failing to obtain intimate contact of the capsule and femoral head when performing a shelf arthroplasty minimizes any subsequent beneficial supportive function of the shelf.

Classic Papers

1475

Harris WH. Etiology of OA of the hip. Instr1476Coume Lect 1986. Proposed that OA of the hip1477typically does not exist as a primary disease, a1478change in our thinking.1479

Klaue K. The acetabular rim syndrome. A
clinical presentation of dysplasia of the hip J
Bone Joint Surg Br 1991. Hip pathology that
leads to damage to the labrum and/or chondrolateral junction is what typically leads to acetabular
and femoral head arthritis.

Ponsetti IV. Morphology of the acetabulum
in congenital dislocation of the hip, gross, histological and roentgenographic studies. J
Bone and Joint Am 1976. Detailed description
of the cartilaginous anatomy of the acetabulum
and its growth and development.

Weinstein SL. Natural history of congenital
hip dislocation (CDH) and hip dysplasia. Clin
Orthop Relat Res 1987. Extensive description
of the normal and abnormal radiological features
of hip development and factors, most important
in clinical outcome.

Tonnis D. Congenital dysplasia and dislocation of the Hip in children and Adults.
Springer 1987. The most informative/authoritative text on hip dysplasia (a "must" for hip
aficionados).

1503 Cooperman DR. Acetabulum dysplasia in
1504 the adult. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1983.
1505 Documented that degenerative hip joint disease
1506 will eventually develop in all patients with hip
1507 subluxation.

Wiberg G. Studies on dysplastic acetabular
and congenital subluxation of the hip joint with
special reference to the complication of
OA. ACTA Chine Scandinavia 1939. Very early
definitive description of radiographic acetabular
deficiency, what was felt to be normal and abnormal
and its association with the development of OA.

1515 Salter RB. Innominate osteotomy in the
1516 treatment of congenital dislocation and sub1517 luxation of the hip. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1961.
1518 First publication of its kind describing a com1519 plete iliac pelvic osteotomy allowing for antero1520 lateral redirection of the congenitally deficient
1521 acetabulum.

Pemberton PA. Pericapsular osteotomy of
the ilium for treatment of congenital subluxation and dislocation of the hip. J Bone Joint
Am. 1965. Also a first in the description of
redirecting the acetabulum without making a

complete cut through the entire ilium, i.e. 1527 "acetabuloplasty". 1528

Wyles EC. The John Charnley Award: 1529 Redefining the natural history of osteoarthri-1530 tis in patients with hip dysplasia and impinge-1531 ment. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2017. 1532 Comprehensive comparison in longitudinal study 1533 of frequency of total hip replacement necessary 1534 in patients with either FAI, dysplasia or normal 1535 morphology. 1536

Smith-Petersen MN. The Classic: 1537 Treatment of malum coxae senilis, old slipped 1538 upper femoral epiphysis, intra pelvic protru-1539 sion of the acetabulum, and coxa plana by 1540 means of acetabuloplasty in 1936 (reprinted in 1541 Clin Orthop Relat Res 2009). Original descrip-1542 tion on the technique of what is now the standard 1543 anterior approach to the pelvis and hip joint. 1544

Betz RR. Chiari pelvic osteotomy in chil-1545dren and young adults. J Bone Joint Surg Am15461988. Indications for and technique of perform-1547ing a Chiari osteotomy.1548

SS. Kuwajima Comparison between 1549 Salter's innominate osteotomy and augmented 1550 acetabuloplasty in the treatment of patients 1551 with severe Legg Calve-Perthes disease. J 1552 Pediatr Orthop B 2002. The author demon-1553 strated the slot-secured shelf arthroplasty in the 1554 treatment of problematic Coxa Plana. 1555

Faciszewski T. Triple innominate osteotomy1556for acetabular dysplasia. J Pediatr Orthop15571993. Publication with a substantial number of1558patients (other than Steel's original article) of1559successful treatment of residual dysplasia utiliz-1560ing the Steel triple innominate osteotomies.1561

Ganz R. A new periacetabular osteotomy 1562 for the treatment of hip dysplasia. Technique 1563 and preliminary results. Clin Orthop Relat 1564 Res 1988. "The" article that introduced the 1565 Bernese PAO as an alternate to a triple innominate or dial osteotomy in correcting acetabular 1567 dysplasia. 1568

Wells J. Intermediate-term hip survivor-1569ship and patient-reported outcomes of peri-1570acetabular osteotomy:The Washington1571University experience.J Bone Joint Surg Am15722018.Comprehensive review of both local,1573

1574 national and international outcomes to date of1575 treatment of acetabular dysplasia with the1576 Bernese (Ganz) PAO.

1577 Key Evidence

The indications for performing either a pelvic 1578 redirectional osteotomy or an acetabuloplasty in 1579 attempting to correct acetabular dysplasia are 1580 dependent upon age and cause of the dysplasia. 1581 In the young child (during development of the 1582 cartilaginous anlage), indications for surgical 1583 correction are dependent on the functional 1584 capacity of the acetabulum to support weight 1585 bearing and hip range of motion. For these 1586 patients, radiographic evidence of acetabular 1587 underdevelopment and subluxation/instability 1588 (i.e. break in Shenton's line) or symptomatic 1589 dysplasia (pain or feeling of instability) is a 1590 clear indication for surgical intervention [9]. 1591 Given the predictable remodeling potential of 1592 the cartilaginous anlage, patients do very well 1593 following properly performed interventions [39, 1594 1595 76, 77]. In relatively older patients (following near complete development/ossification of the 1596

cartilaginous anlage), the indications are some-1597 what less clear for the surgical correction of 1598 residual acetabular dysplasia (Fig. 5.18). There 1599 is a paucity of both natural history and properly 1600 controlled interventional studies of hip dysplasia 1601 in this age group. In these more mature hips, 1602 there will be less potential remodeling following 1603 joint preserving surgery. Precise repositioning of 1604 the acetabulum is more critical in assuring satis-1605 factory long-term outcome [8, 76, 77]. Most sur-1606 geons consider symptoms of dysplasia (pain, 1607 feeling of instability, and limping), a positive 1608 Trendelenburg sign on exam and radiographic 1609 evidence of subluxation as indications for cor-1610 rective surgery in these more skeletally mature 1611 patients. Outcomes of surgical connection of 1612 acetabular dysplasia have been very favorable, 1613 with a reported 95% 15 year survival rate [92-1614 95]. Younger patients and the preoperative 1615 absence of arthritis were predictors of poten-1616 tially better outcomes. The status of the labral 1617 chondral complex and/or head-neck prominence 1618 as predictors of outcome is less clear. While 1619 techniques have been developed that make it 1620 possible to correct both labral chondral pathol-1621 ogy and head-neck junction abnormalities 1622



Fig. 5.18 Current indications of the PAO: Indications for correction of insufficient lateral (black arrow) or anterior coverage (a) are continually evolving. Current evidence supports correction of a symptomatic under-covered (see Table 5.1 for values) hip. Current evidence also supports replacement, not preservation, of a (c) symptomatic dysplasia with a labral tear (red arrow) and osteoarthritis

(green arrow). However, evidence is less clear as to the outcome of correcting incidentally discovered, asymptomatic dysplasia (a). Additionally, with the advent of combined arthroscopy, it is unknown if labral repair is required when addressing dysplasia, or, how much a tear is too significant to warrant surgical correction of both the labrum and dysplasia in favor of replacement

AU11

concomitant with acetabular reorientation, clini-1623 cal studies are still ongoing in attempting to show 1624 how effective these adjacent procedures are (or 1625 are not) in further improving the outcome of ace-1626 1627 tabular reorientation joint preserving surgery.

Take Home Message

- In the last few decades, both our understanding of what leads to hip joint arthritis secondary to acetabular dysplasia and the ability to surgically correct it has changed the practice of our hip surgery.
- It is essential to be knowledgeable of the development of the hip and the processes by which it can fail when selecting a surgical approach in the correction of residual acetabular dysplasia.
- Having an understanding of both the normal and abnormal growth of the acetabulum helps in both the need for timing of and choosing the optimal surgical technique in correcting acetabular deficiency.
- Further observation is indicated for younger children (less than 7 years old) with a progressive decrease of the acetabular index, an intact Shelton's line, normal hip motion and no limp.
- Further observation is not indicated for older children (7 years and older) with an unchanging acetabular index, break in Shelton's line, and a positive Trendelenburg sign. Rather, appropriate surgical correction should be considered.
- For skeletally mature patients, surgical correction of residual acetabular dysplasia is selectively performed typically only for symptomatic patients.
- The clinical and radiographic goals at skeletal maturity, whether by natural history or following surgical intervention, include: lateral and anterior CEA of 25°, less than 20% of the femoral head laterally uncovered, a "stable" hip (i.e. Tonnis angle $<10^{\circ}$ and Shelton's line intact) and, most importantly, a congruent hip with satisfactory range of motion.

References

- 1. Harris WH. Etiology of osteoarthritis of the hip. Clin 1629 Orthop Relat Res. 1986;(213):20-33. 1630
- 2. Aronson J. Osteoarthritis of the young adult hip: etiol-1631 ogy and treatment. Instr Course Lect. 1986;35:119-28. 1632
- 3. Klaue K, Durnin CW, Ganz R. The acetabular rim 1633 syndrome. A clinical presentation of dysplasia of the 1634 hip. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1991;73(3):423-9. 1635
- 4. Clohisy JC, Beaule PE, O'Malley A, Safran MR, 1636 Schoenecker P. AOA symposium. Hip disease 1637 in the young adult: current concepts of etiology 1638 and surgical treatment. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1639 2008;90(10):2267-81. 1640
- 5. Leunig M, Siebenrock KA, Ganz R. Rationale of periacetabular osteotomy and background work. Instr Course Lect. 2001;50:229-38.
- 6. Nepple JJ, Byrd JW, Siebenrock KA, Prather H, Clohisy JC. Overview of treatment options, clinical results, and controversies in the management of femoroacetabular impingement. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2013;21(Suppl 1):S53-8.
- 7. Schoenecker PL, Clohisy JC, Millis MB, Wenger 1649 DR. Surgical management of the problematic hip 1650 in adolescent and young adult patients. J Am Acad 1651 Orthop Surg. 2011;19(5):275-86.
- 8. Siebenrock KA, Leunig M, Ganz R. Periacetabular osteotomy: the Bernese experience. Instr Course Lect. 2001;50:239-45.
- 9. Weinstein SL. Natural history of congenital hip dislocation (CDH) and hip dysplasia. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1987;(225):62-76.
- 10. Beck M, Kalhor M, Leunig M, Ganz R. Hip morphology influences the pattern of damage to the acetabular cartilage: femoroacetabular impingement as a cause of early osteoarthritis of the hip. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2005;87(7):1012-8.
- 11. Aronsson DD, Goldberg MJ, Kling TF Jr, Roy DR. Developmental dysplasia of the hip. Pediatrics. 1994;94(2 Pt 1):201-8.
- 12. Ponseti IV. Growth and development of the acetabulum in the normal child. Anatomical, histological, and roentgenographic studies. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1978a;60(5):575-85.
- 13. Ponseti IV. Morphology of the acetabulum in congenital dislocation of the hip. Gross, histological and roentgenographic studies. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1978b;60(5):586-99.
- 14. Tonnis D. Congenital dysplasia and dislocation of the hip in children and adults. New York: Springer; 1987.
- 15. Leet AI, Mackenzie WG, Szoke G, Harcke HT. Injury to the growth plate after Pemberton osteotomy. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1999;81(2):169-76.
- 16. Ganz R, Klaue K, Vinh TS, Mast JW. A new periace-1680 tabular osteotomy for the treatment of hip dysplasias. 1681 Technique and preliminary results. Clin Orthop Relat 1682 Res. 1988;(232):26-36.
- 17. Parvizi J, Leunig M, Ganz R. Femoroacetabular 1684 impingement. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2007; 1685 15(9):561-70. 1686

1641

1642

1643

1644

1645

1646

1647

1648

1652

1653

1654

1655

1656

1657

1658

1659

1660

1661

1662

1663

1664

1665

1666

1667

1668

1669

1670

1671

1672

1673

1674

1675

1676

1677

1678

1679

- 18. Wyles CC, Heidenreich MJ, Jeng J, Larson DR, 1687 Trousdale RT, Sierra RJ. The John Charnley Award: 1688 1689 redefining the natural history of OA in patients with hip dysplasia and impingement. Clin Orthop Relat 1690 Res. 2017;475(2):336-50. 1691
- 19. Chegini S, Beck M, Ferguson SJ. The effects of 1692 impingement and dysplasia on stress distribu-1693 tions in the hip joint during sitting and walk-1694 1695 ing: a finite element analysis. J Orthop Res. 2009;27(2):195-201. 1696
- 20. Ecker TM, Tannast M, Puls M, Siebenrock KA, 1697 1698 Murphy SB. Pathomorphologic alterations predict presence or absence of hip osteoarthrosis. Clin Orthop 1699 Relat Res. 2007;465:46-52. 1700
- 1701 21. Ganz R, Parvizi J, Beck M, Leunig M, Notzli H, Siebenrock KA. Femoroacetabular impingement: a 1702 cause for osteoarthritis of the hip. Clin Orthop Relat 1703 1704 Res. 2003;(417):112-20.
- 1705 22. Smith-Petersen MN. The classic: Treatment of malum coxae senilis, old slipped upper femoral epiphysis, 1706 1707 intrapelvic protrusion of the acetabulum, and coxa plana by means of acetabuloplasty. 1936. Clin Orthop 1708 Relat Res. 2009;467(3):608-15. 1709
- 1710 23. Allen D, Beaule PE, Ramadan O, Doucette S. Prevalence of associated deformities and hip pain 1711 in patients with cam-type femoroacetabular impinge-1712 ment. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2009;91(5):589-94. 1713
- 24. Bardakos NV, Villar RN. Predictors of progression 1714 of osteoarthritis in femoroacetabular impingement: 1715 1716 a radiological study with a minimum of ten years follow-up. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2009;91(2):162-9. 1717
- DR, Wallensten 1718 25. Cooperman R, Stulberg
- 1719 SD. Acetabular dysplasia in the adult. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1983;(175):79-85. 1720
- 26. Hartofilakidis G, Bardakos NV, Babis GC, Georgiades 1721 1722 G. An examination of the association between different morphotypes of femoroacetabular impinge-1723 ment in asymptomatic subjects and the development 1724 of osteoarthritis of the hip. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1725 2011;93(5):580-6. 1726
- 27. Malvitz TA, Weinstein SL. Closed reduction for con-1727 1728 genital dysplasia of the hip. Functional and radiographic results after an average of thirty years. J Bone 1729 Joint Surg Am. 1994;76(12):1777-92. 1730
- 1731 28. Schwend RM, Pratt WB, Fultz J. Untreated acetabular dysplasia of the hip in the Navajo. A 34 year case series 1732 followup. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1999;(364):108-16. 1733
- 1734 29. Sierra RJ, Trousdale RT, Ganz R, Leunig M. Hip disease in the young, active patient: evaluation and non-1735 arthroplasty surgical options. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 1736 2008;16(12):689-703. 1737
- 30. Hartofilakidis G. Karachalios T. Stamos 1738 KG. Epidemiology, demographics, and natural his-1739 1740 tory of congenital hip disease in adults. Orthopedics. 2000;23(8):823-7. 1741
- 31. Hadley NA, Brown TD, Weinstein SL. The effects of 1742 1743 contact pressure elevations and aseptic necrosis on the long-term outcome of congenital hip dislocation. J 1744 1745 Orthop Res. 1990;8(4):504-13.
- 1746 32. Maxian TA, Brown TD, Weinstein SL. Chronic stress 1747 tolerance levels for human articular cartilage: two

nonuniform contact models applied to long-term fol-1748 low-up of CDH. J Biomech. 1995;28(2):159-66. 1749

- 33. Wedge JH, Wasylenko MJ. The natural history of con-1750 genital dislocation of the hip: a critical review. Clin 1751 Orthop Relat Res. 1978;(137):154-62. 1752
- 34. Wiberg G. Studies on dysplastic acetabula and congen-1753 ital subluxation of the hip joint with special reference to 1754 the complication of OA. Acta Chir Scand. 1939;83:58. 1755
- 35. Eppright R. Dial osteotomy of the acetabulum in the 1756 treatment of dysplasia of the hip. J Bone Joint Surg 1757 Am. 1975;57:212-5. 1758
- 36. Millis MB, Murphy SB. Use of computed tomo-1759 graphic reconstruction in planning osteotomies of the 1760 hip. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1992;(274):154-9. 1761

1762

1763

1764

1765

1769

1770

1771

1772

1773

1774

1775

1776

1777

1778

1779

1780

1782

1783

1786

1787

1788

1789

1790

1799

1802

1803

- 37. Muller ME. Intertrochanteric osteotomy: indication, preoperative planning, technique. In: Schatzker J, editor. The intertrochanteric osteotomy. New York: Springer; 1984. p. 25-66.
- 38. Pauwels F. Biomechanics of the normal and diseased 1766 hip. In: Furlong R, Maquet P, editors. Theoretical 1767 foundation, technique and results of treatment an 1768 atlas. New York: Springer; 1976. p. 136-43.
- 39. Salter RB. The classic. Innominate osteotomy in the treatment of congenital dislocation and subluxation of the hip by Robert B. Salter, J Bone Joint Surg (Brit) 43B:3:518, 1961. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1978;(137):2-14.
- 40. Wagner H. Experiences with spherical acetabular osteotomy for the correction of the dysplastic acetabulum. In: Weil U, editor. Acetabular dysplasia progress in orthopaedic surgery, vol. 2. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 1978. p. 131-45.
- Wagner H. Osteotomies for congenital hip dislocation. 41. In: The hip proceedings of the fourth open scientific 1781 meeting of the Hip Society. St Louis: Mosby; 1976. p. 45-66.
- 42. Murphy SB, Kijewski PK, Millis MB, Harless 1784 A. Acetabular dysplasia in the adolescent and young 1785 adult. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1990;(261):214-23.
- 43. Murphy SB, Ganz R, Muller ME. The prognosis in untreated dysplasia of the hip. A study of radiographic factors that predict the outcome. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1995;77(7):985-9.
- 44. Sankar WN, Duncan ST, Baca GR, Beaule PE, 1791 Millis MB, Kim YJ, et al. Descriptive epidemiology 1792 of acetabular dysplasia: The Academic Network of 1793 Conservational Hip Outcomes Research (ANCHOR) 1794 periacetabular osteotomy. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 1795 2017;25(2):150-9. 1796
- 45. Carter CO, Wilkinson JA. Genetic and environmental 1797 factors in the etiology of congenital dislocation of the 1798 hip. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1964;33:119-28.
- 46. Weinstein SL, Mubarak SJ, Wenger 1800 DR. Developmental hip dysplasia and dislocation: 1801 Part I. Instr Course Lect. 2004;53:523-30.
- 47. Salter RB. Etiology, pathogenesis and possible prevention of congenital dislocation of the hip. Can Med 1804 Assoc J. 1968;98(20):933-45.
- 48. Dunn PM. Perinatal observations on the etiology of 1806 congenital dislocation of the hip. Clin Orthop Relat 1807 Res. 1976;(119):11–22. 1808

- 49. Bialik V, Berant M. "Immunity" of Ethiopian 1809 Jews to developmental dysplasia of the hip: a pre-1810 liminary sonographic study. J Pediatr Orthop B. 1811 1997;6(4):253-4. 1812
- 50. Roper A. Hip dysplasia in the African Bantu. J Bone 1813 1814 Joint Surg Br. 1976;58(2):155-8.
- 51. Skirving AP, Scadden WJ. The African neonatal hip 1815 and its immunity from congenital dislocation. J Bone 1816 1817 Joint Surg Br. 1979;61-B(3):339-41.
- 52. Rabin DL, Barnett CR, Arnold WD, Freiberger 1818 RH, Brooks G. Untreated congenital hip disease. A 1819 1820 study of the epidemiology, natural history, and social aspects of the disease in a Navajo population. Am J 1821 Public Health Nations Health. 1965;55(Suppl):1-44. 1822
- 1823 53. Johnsen K, Goll R, Reikeras O. Acetabular dysplasia in the Sami population: a population study among 1824 Sami in north Norway. Int J Circumpolar Health. 1825 1826 2008;67(1):147-53.
- 54. Lee CB, Mata-Fink A, Millis MB, Kim 1827 YJ. Demographic differences in adolescent-diagnosed 1828 1829 and adult-diagnosed acetabular dysplasia compared with infantile developmental dysplasia of the hip. J 1830 Pediatr Orthop. 2013;33(2):107-11. 1831
- 1832 55. Croft P, Cooper C, Wickham C, Coggon D. Osteoarthritis of the hip and acetabular dysplasia. 1833 Ann Rheum Dis. 1991;50(5):308-10. 1834
- 1835 56. Inoue K. Wicart P. Kawasaki T. Huang J. Ushiyama T. Hukuda S, et al. Prevalence of hip osteoarthritis and 1836 acetabular dysplasia in French and Japanese adults. 1837 1838 Rheumatology (Oxford). 2000;39(7):745-8.
- 57. Jacobsen S, Sonne-Holm S, Soballe K, Gebuhr P, 1839 Lund B. Hip dysplasia and osteoarthrosis: a survey 1840 of 4151 subjects from the Osteoarthrosis Substudy 1841 of the Copenhagen City Heart Study. Acta Orthop. 1842 2005;76(2):149-58. 1843
- 58. Lau EM, Lin F, Lam D, Silman A, Croft P. Hip osteo-1844 arthritis and dysplasia in Chinese men. Ann Rheum 1845 Dis. 1995;54(12):965-9. 1846
- 59. Lehmann HP, Hinton R, Morello P, Santoli 1847 J. Developmental dysplasia of the hip practice 1848 guideline: technical report. Committee on Quality 1849 1850 Improvement, and Subcommittee on Developmental Dysplasia of the Hip. Pediatrics. 2000;105(4):E57. 1851
- 60. Smith RW, Egger P, Coggon D, Cawley MI, Cooper 1852 1853 C. Osteoarthritis of the hip joint and acetabular dysplasia in women. Ann Rheum Dis. 1995;54(3):179-81. 1854
- 61. Engesaeter IO, Laborie LB, Lehmann TG, Fevang 1855 1856 JM, Lie SA, Engesaeter LB, et al. Prevalence of radiographic findings associated with hip dysplasia 1857 in a population-based cohort of 2081 19-year-old 1858 1859 Norwegians. Bone Joint J. 2013;95-B(2):279-85.
- 62. Engesaeter LB, Engesaeter IO, Fenstad AM, Havelin 1860 LI, Karrholm J, Garellick G, et al. Low revision rate 1861 1862 after total hip arthroplasty in patients with pediatric hip diseases. Acta Orthop. 2012;83(5):436-41. 1863
- 63. Lohmander LS, Engesaeter LB, Herberts P, Ingvarsson 1864 T, Lucht U, Puolakka TJ. Standardized incidence rates 1865 of total hip replacement for primary hip osteoarthritis 1866 in the 5 Nordic countries: similarities and differences. 1867 Acta Orthop. 2006;77(5):733-40. 1868

- 64. Murray RO. The etiology of primary osteoarthritis of 1869 the hip. Br J Radiol. 1965;38(455):810-24. 1870
- 65. Crockarell J Jr, Trousdale RT, Cabanela ME, Berry 1871 DJ. Early experience and results with the periace-1872 tabular osteotomy. The Mayo Clinic experience. Clin 1873 Orthop Relat Res. 1999;(363):45-53. 1874
- 66. Peters CL, Erickson JA, Hines JL. Early results of the 1875 Bernese periacetabular osteotomy: the learning curve 1876 at an academic medical center. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2006;88(9):1920-6.
- 67. Trousdale RT, Ekkernkamp A, Ganz R, Wallrichs SL. Periacetabular and intertrochanteric osteotomy for the treatment of osteoarthrosis in dysplastic hips. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1995;77(1):73-85.
- 68. Trumble SJ, Mayo KA, Mast JW. The periacetabular osteotomy. Minimum 2 year followup in more than 100 hips. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1999;(363):54-63.
- 69. Clohisy JC, Dobson MA, Robison JF, Warth LC, Zheng J, Liu SS, et al. Radiographic structural abnormalities associated with premature, natural hipjoint failure. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2011;93(Suppl 2):3-9.
- 70. Clohisy JC, Barrett SE, Gordon JE, Delgado ED, Schoenecker PL. Medial translation of the hip joint center associated with the Bernese periacetabular osteotomy. Iowa Orthop J. 2004;24:43-8.
- 71. Nunley RM, Prather H, Hunt D, Schoenecker PL, Clohisy JC. Clinical presentation of symptomatic acetabular dysplasia in skeletally mature patients. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2011;93(Suppl 2):17-21.
- 72. Steppacher SD, Tannast M, Ganz R, Siebenrock KA. Mean 20-year followup of Bernese periacetabular osteotomy. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2008:466(7):1633-44.
- 73. Troelsen A, Elmengaard B, Soballe K. Medium-term outcome of periacetabular osteotomy and predictors of conversion to total hip replacement. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2009;91(9):2169-79.
- 74. Ito H, Tanino H, Yamanaka Y, Minami A, Matsuno T. Intermediate to long-term results of periacetabular osteotomy in patients younger and older than forty years of age. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2011;93(14):1347-54.
- 75. Novais EN, Heyworth B, Murray K, Johnson VM, 1912 Kim YJ, Millis MB. Physical activity level improves 1913 after periacetabular osteotomy for the treatment of 1914 symptomatic hip dysplasia. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1915 2013;471(3):981-8.
- 76. Faciszewski T, Coleman SS, Biddulph G. Triple innominate osteotomy for acetabular dysplasia. J 1918 Pediatr Orthop. 1993a;13(4):426-30.
- 77. Faciszewski T, Kiefer GN, Coleman SS. Pemberton osteotomy for residual acetabular dysplasia in children who have congenital dislocation of the hip. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1993b;75(5):643-9.
- 78. Gordon JE, Capelli AM, Strecker WB, Delgado ED, 1924 Schoenecker PL. Pemberton pelvic osteotomy and 1925 varus rotational osteotomy in the treatment of ace-1926 tabular dysplasia in patients who have static encepha-1927 lopathy. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1996;78(12):1863-71. 1928

1901

1902

1903

1904

1905

1906

1907

1908

1909

1910

1911

1916

1917

1919

1920

1921

1922

- 79. Pemberton PA. Pericapsular osteotomy of the 1929 ilium for treatment of congenital subluxation 1930 1931 and dislocation of the hip. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1965;47:65-86. 1932
- 80. Vedantam R, Capelli AM, Schoenecker PL. Pemberton 1933 1934 osteotomy for the treatment of developmental dysplasia of the hip in older children. J Pediatr Orthop. 1935 1998;18(2):254-8. 1936
- 1937 81. Salter RB. Role of innominate osteotomy in the treatment of congenital dislocation and subluxation 1938 of the hip in the older child. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1939 1940 1966;48(7):1413-39.
- 82. Rebello G, Zilkens C, Dudda M, Matheney T, Kim 1941 YJ. Triple pelvic osteotomy in complex hip dysplasia 1942 1943 seen in neuromuscular and teratologic conditions. J Pediatr Orthop. 2009;29(6):527-34. 1944
- 83. Steel HH. Triple osteotomy of the innominate bone. J 1945 1946 Bone Joint Surg Am. 1973;55(2):343-50.
- 1947 84. Clohisy JC, Barrett SE, Gordon JE, Delgado ED, Schoenecker PL. Periacetabular osteotomy in the 1948 1949 treatment of severe acetabular dysplasia. Surgical technique. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2006;88(Suppl 1 Pt 1950 1):65-83. 1951
- 1952 85. Nassif NA, Schoenecker PL, Thorsness R, Clohisy JC. Periacetabular osteotomy and combined femoral 1953 head-neck junction osteochondroplasty: a minimum 1954 1955 two-year follow-up cohort study. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2012;94(21):1959-66. 1956
- 86. Bailey TE Jr, Hall JE. Chiari medial displacement 1957 1958 osteotomy. J Pediatr Orthop. 1985;5(6):635-41.
- 87. Betz RR, Kumar SJ, Palmer CT, MacEwen GD. Chiari 1959 pelvic osteotomy in children and young adults. J Bone 1960 Joint Surg Am. 1988;70(2):182-91. 1961
- 88. Debnath UK, Guha AR, Karlakki S, Varghese J, Evans 1962 GA. Combined femoral and Chiari osteotomies for 1963
- 1964 reconstruction of the painful subluxation or disloca-

tion of the hip in cerebral palsy. A long-term outcome 1965 study. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2006;88(10):1373-8. 1966

- 89. Dietz FR. Knutson LM. Chiari pelvic osteotomy in 1967 cerebral palsy. J Pediatr Orthop. 1995;15(3):372-80. 1968
- 90. Kuwajima SS, Crawford AH, Ishida A, Roy DR, Filho 1969 JL, Milani C. Comparison between Salter's innomi-1970 nate osteotomy and augmented acetabuloplasty in the 1971 treatment of patients with severe Legg-Calve-Perthes 1972 disease. Analysis of 90 hips with special reference to 1973 roentgenographic sphericity and coverage of the fem-1974 oral head. J Pediatr Orthop B. 2002;11(1):15-28. 1975
- 91. Staheli LT, Chew DE. Slotted acetabular augmenta-1976 tion in childhood and adolescence. J Pediatr Orthop. 1977 1992;12(5):569-80. 1978

1979

1980

1981

1982

1983

- 92. Clohisy JC, Ackerman J, Baca G, Baty J, Beaule PE, Kim YJ, et al. Patient-reported outcomes of periacetabular osteotomy from the prospective ANCHOR cohort study. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2017;99(1):33-41.
- 93. Lerch TD, Steppacher SD, Liechti EF, Tannast M, 1984 Siebenrock KA. One-third of hips after periacetabu-1985 lar osteotomy survive 30 years with good clinical 1986 results, no progression of arthritis, or conversion to 1987 THA. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2017;475(4):1154–68. 1988
- 94. Wells J, Millis M, Kim YJ, Bulat E, Miller P, Matheney 1989 T. Survivorship of the Bernese periacetabular osteot-1990 omy: what factors are associated with long-term fail-1991 ure? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2017;475(2):396-405.
- Wells J, Schoenecker P, Duncan S, Goss CW, 95. 1993 Thomason K, Clohisy JC. Intermediate-term hip survi-1994 vorship and patient-reported outcomes of periacetabu-1995 lar osteotomy: the Washington University experience. 1996 J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2018;100(3):218-25. 1997
- 96. Weinstein SL, Mubarak SJ, Wenger DR. Fundamental 1998 concepts of developmental dysplasia of the hip. Instr 1999 Course Lect. 2014;63:299-305. 2000

Author Queries

Chapter No.: 5 0004302441

Queries	Details Required	Author's Response
AU1	Please check and confirm if the affiliations are presented correctly.	
AU2	As per reference style, name-date references have been changed to numbered references. Please check.	
AU3	Ref. "Ponseti 1978" has been changed to "Ponseti 1978a, b" to match with the reference list. Please check here and in subsequent occurrences.	
AU4	Part label (c) is present in the artwork but not mentioned in the caption of Fig. 5.7. Please check.	
AU5	Refs. "Coleman 1968; Tönnis 1976; Albinana et al. 1996; Smith et al. 1997" are cited in the text but not provided in the reference list. Please check and provide the bibliographic details in the list or delete the citations from the text.	X
AU6	Ref. "Faciszewski et al. (1993)" has been changed to "Faciszewski et al. (1993a, b)" to match with the reference list. Please check here and in subsequent occurrences.)
AU7	We have relabeled Fig. 5.8. Kindly check and provide better quality figures if any.	
AU8	Please check the hierarchy of the section headings and confirm if correct.	
AU9	Part labels (c–f) are present in the artwork but not mentioned in the caption of Fig. 5.11. Please check.	
AU10	Part label (c) is present in the artwork but not mentioned in the caption of Fig. 5.17. Please check.	
AU11	Part label (b) is present in the artwork but not mentioned in the caption of Fig. 5.18. Please check.	
AU12	Reference "96" was not cited anywhere in the text. Please provide in text citation or delete the reference from the reference list.	

e the reference no...