Early Outcomes of a Sequential Series of 144 Patients with Dupuytren’s Contracture Treated by Collagenase Injection Using an Increased Dose, Multi-Cord Technique
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Summary

Collagenase clostridium histolyticum (CCH) is the first and only United States Food and Drug Association (FDA) approved nonsurgical treatment for patients with a palpable Dupuytren’s contracture (DC) cord. However, the FDA has only approved injection of 0.58 mg of this enzyme into one palpable DC cord at a time. This review reports on the early outcome of 144 patients treated with the entire bottle of enzyme, approximately 0.78 mg, along with use of a novel slow intracord multicord (SIMple) technique. Use of 0.78 mg of enzyme, with the SIMple technique is safe and allows one to inject multiple DC cords at one setting. Correction at MCP and PIP joints, taken individually, are comparable to the CORD studies at 43 and 33 degrees respectively, however due to the multi-cord injection, we achieved 94° average immediate and 76° average final combined MCP and PIP contracture releases per bottle of enzyme. Implementation of the SIMple technique has the potential to improve current treatment for DC with resultant significant healthcare savings.
INTRODUCTION

Historically, treatment for Dupuytren’s contracture (DC) consisted of open fasciectomy, open fasciotomy, or needle aponeurotomy, frequently followed by hand therapy (Sennwald, 1990; Denkler, 2005; Leclercq, 2000; Coert et al., 2006; van Rijssen et al., 2006; van Rijssen and Werker, 2006; Stewart et al.). Unfortunately, this treatment is associated with significant potential complications (Loos et al., 2007; Foucher et al., 2003; Denkler, 2010; Bulstrode et al., 2005; Mc Farlane and Jamieson, 1966; Jabaley, 1999; Mavrohenis et al., 2009; Sennwalk, 1990). In February 2010, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved injectable collagenase clostridium histolyticum (CCH) (Xiaflex; Auxilium Pharmaceuticals, Inc, Malvern PA) as the first and only nonsurgical treatment for adult patients with DC with a palpable cord.

The FDA approved the injection of 0.58 mg of CCH into a single DC cord. This injection can be repeated once a month, up to three times, to achieve a contracture release to within 0–5° of normal. In the Collagenase Option for the Reduction of Dupuytren’s (CORD) I and II studies (Hurst et al., 2009; Gilpin et al., 2010), a mean 1.7 injections, were required to achieve a reduction in contracture to within 0–5° of normal. A bottle of this enzyme costs approximately $3300. Estimated total Medicare surgical costs for DC treatment range from $3500 for palm only disease to $4300 for 2 finger PIP involvement (AMA 2013 CPT/Relative Value Search). Total surgical costs were calculated as the sum of procedure, anesthesia, facility, and occupational/physical therapy costs. Self pay and private insurance total surgical costs can greatly exceed Medicare amounts.
Previous clinical, toxicology, and Immunology studies suggested safety with complete CCH bottle injection (Badalamente et al., 2002; Edkins et al., 2012). Safety with injection greater than 0.58 mg CCH also supported with preliminary unpublished and exploratory published multi cord studies, injecting 2 concurrent cords each with 0.58 mg of CCH (Coleman et al., 2012).

In an effort to save health care dollars and improve efficacy, I routinely inject the entire bottle of enzyme using a novel slow intracord multicord (SIMple) technique. I hypothesized significant improvement in efficacy, significant reduction in overall health care costs, and no increase in patient morbidity.

On February 28, 2011, the European Medicines Agency approved CCH (Xiapex; Swedish Orphan Biovitrum AB; Stockholm, Sweden) for treatment of DC in 28 EU member countries, including Sweden and Norway, with the same 0.58 mg dosage instructions.
MATERIAL AND METHODS

Patients

After obtaining regional institutional review board approval, I retrospectively reviewed every patient that I injected with CCH from May 2010 to November 2012. 144 patients (119 men, 25 women) were injected. Every patient was instructed pre-procedure that this technique was off label, not FDA approved, and the potential serious side effects with use of CCH, were outlined and highlighted.

Clinical Evaluation

All patients had complete medical records including preinjection and postinjection measurements. Contracture measurements were made using standard technique with finger goniometer, direct observation, and table top testing pre injection, after manipulation, and at subsequent visits. Serious adverse events (SAE) were monitored and screened.

Injection Technique

CCH was reconstituted using the manufacturers recommended technique for MCP contractures with 0.39 ml of sterile diluent. The reconstituted vial was gently inverted with care taken to remove every drop of enzyme. With the addition of 0.39 ml of diluent, I routinely retrieved 0.34 ml reconstituted enzyme, representing 0.78 mg of CCH. The FDA approved injection technique allows 0.58 mg of enzyme. Additional enzyme is present in the bottle as it is common in the pharmaceutical
business to include more product than needed to account for potential waste (Auxilium – personal
communication Feb. 2010)

For every patient, except the first, the entire bottle was used. This represents 0.2 mg additional
CCH, or a 34% increase.

CCH dose was divided, depending on clinical severity, to maximize efficacy of each injection. On
average, 2.5 separate DC cords were injected, per patient, per CCH bottle.

For the purpose of this paper, to facilitate resultant analysis, and to directly compare these
results with previously published CCH injection results, pretendinous cords were defined as cords in the
palm, proximal to the finger flexion crease. Spiral cords were defined from the finger flexion crease to
the PIP flexion crease, and retrovascular cords from the PIP flexion crease distally. Injections into
pretendinous Y cords were considered single pretendinous injections. Even though there is significant
variability amongst cords located in the proximal phalangeal area, all cords in this region were defined
as spiral cords. All cord types were injected. The author did not refuse to inject any cord type.

After approximately the tenth patient, the author serendipitously discovered the SIMple
technique. The SIMple technique insures direct CCH injection into the DC cord in an effort to maximize
CCH efficacy. The author included his first 10 patients in the retrospective review to most accurately
reflect the results of the author’s first 144 patients and to allow other hand surgeons, who are
considering this technique, with its associated learning curve, an idea of expected results.

With the SIMple technique, the needle is inserted into the center of the DC cord and firm
pressure is applied to the plunger of the syringe with one hand. The opposite hand stabilizes the
patient’s hand and associated cord that is being injected. Given the long injection process, the index
finger of the opposite hand stabilizes and applies counterforce to the hub of the needle to prevent
inadvertent penetration through the cord. Constant pressure is applied to the syringe plunger, injecting the CCH. With this simple technique, no apparent enzyme is frequently injected for several minutes. Depending on the apparent density of the collagen bundle, resistance on the injection plunger usually suddenly disappears, and one can easily inject the CCH into the cord, after approximately 1 to 5 minutes. The needle is then routinely partly withdrawn and redirected one to two times at the same location with the same technique. Usually, significant less time is required for injection at each redirected location. This process is repeated for every cord injected. With this technique, complete injection of the entire bottle of enzyme takes anywhere from several to approximately 15 minutes.

For spiral cords I routinely inject at the PIP joint and mid proximal phalangeal level. This technique is not recommended by the manufacturer, due to fear of tendon rupture. For these areas, the needle is injected into the spiral cord from dorsal to volar, injecting away from the flexor tendons. Again, the simple technique is utilized. For small cords, placement of the needle into the cord is sometimes tricky and feels similar to threading a vein during venipuncture. Retrovascular cords at the middle phalangeal level and DIP joint area are injected using a similar technique.

At all times, if no resistance is appreciated at initiation of attempted injection, the needle is redirected and “rethreaded” into the DC cord. Confirmation of placement into the cord is achieved with solid knowledge of anatomy, careful technique, and firm resistance with attempted injection. Care is taken to avoid injecting CCH into the soft tissue adjacent to the cord. This injection technique was employed with all cord types, even with very thin or flat cords. On occasion, patients had acute pain during injection, possibly secondary to placement of the needle adjacent to the neurovascular bundle. However, local anesthetic was given at time of enzyme injection for only 2 or 3 patients, and this was only done for repeat injections at patient’s request.
After injection, a soft dressing is applied. The patient returns the next day for manipulation.

Local field block was performed for all patients, except one, using a combination of 1% lidocaine and 0.5% marcaine. After 10-15 minutes, the affected cords are manipulated with the wrist and MCP flexed for spiral and retrovascular cords and with wrist flexion for pretendinous cords. After manipulation, a soft tissue dressing is applied, except for severe PIP contractures and for patients who developed skin lacerations.

For PIP contractures greater than 60⁰, a dorsal padded finger splint is applied every night for 2-3 weeks. For patients who develop skin lacerations, occlusive petrolatum gauze along with soft dressing and plaster splint is applied, holding the affected digits in maximal extension. Patients remove this dressing the next day and start twice daily soaks in warm water with magnesium sulfate salts. They continue their nighttime finger splints as directed above.

After injection, patients avoid heavy lifting, gripping, or squeezing for one week. Patients routinely return at 7-14 days. Patients who develop skin lacerations routinely return for wound check approximately 5-6 days after injection. Patients follow up 1 month after injection and subsequently as needed.

The author excluded patients with thumb, first web space, and retrovascular cord injections, and patients with <20⁰ MCP or PIP contractures, from statistical analysis in an effort to directly compare results with previously published reports using a similar cohort of patients (Hurst et al., 2009; Gilpin et al., 2010).
RESULTS

521 separate DC cords were injected, of these there were 302 pretendinous and 193 spiral cords. 28 thumb, 7 first web space, and 10 retrovascular cords were injected.

The CCH injection results were stratified by the degree of preinjection contracture at the MCP and/or PIP joints (Table 1). Results were stratified in this fashion, and both included and excluded patients with greater than 80° PIP contractures to directly compare results with CORD I and II.

The results for isolated pretendinous and spiral cord injections were analyzed (Table 3 and 4). Patients who only had 1 bottle of CCH injected per hand were also analyzed, to most accurately reflect results for a typical new DC patient who presents to the office for injection. This information is helpful to educate new patients about expected contracture release results with CCH injection (Tables 4 and 5).

Every patient developed swelling, ecchymosis, and tenderness at the injection site. Swelling and tenderness typically resolved by 2 weeks post injection. Approximately 40% of patients developed axillary swelling, tenderness, and lymphadenopathy. The presence or absence of this finding was not always documented. This typically resolved 1 day post injection. 35 skin lacerations, defined as skin splitting or tearing at time of manipulation, were noted. 10 of these skin lacerations occurred in patients with >80° PIP contractures. All skin lacerations, even those with exposed tendon sheaths, healed by secondary intention. No infections were noted. 5 patients developed recurrent DC, defined
as >20° contracture for a cord that was injected. All patients underwent repeat injection of those cords.

Less than 5 patients went to occupational therapy after injections and these were all multiple finger DC patients. Except for these 5 patients, nearly all patients had supple full finger range of motion, as allowed by their residual DC, 2 weeks post injection.

DISCUSSION

This paper is clinically significant as it represents the entire CCH clinical experience of a single practitioner, utilizing a non-FDA approved injection technique, and represents 1% of all CCH injections performed in the United States from inception of CCH clinical trials to completion of this retrospective review.

The results are compared to previously published studies (Hurst et al., 2009; Gilpin et al., 2010;). CORD I demonstrated 41° mean improvement in ROM at the MCP when pretendinous cords were injected and a 29° mean improvement in ROM at the PIP when spiral cords were injected. CORD II study demonstrated a 40° mean improvement in ROM at the MCP when pretendinous cords were injected and a 32° mean improvement in ROM at the PIP when spiral cords were injected. These results were achieved with mean 1.7 injections per DC cord. Using a similar patient cohort, this study demonstrated immediate 49° average MCP and 45° average PIP contracture correction improvements per bottle of enzyme along with final 43° average MCP and 33° average PIP contracture correction improvements at average follow-up of 60 days. This 94° average immediate and 76° average final combined MCP and PIP contracture releases per bottle of enzyme, demonstrates a significant improvement from the isolated MCP or PIP release results noted with the FDA approved technique in CORD I and II.
Looking at isolated injections into single cords, a mean of 0.38 mg of CCH was injected per pretendinous cord and 0.31 mg of CCH was injected per spiral cord with comparable or better results than found in the CORD I and II studies where a mean of 0.99 mg of CCH was injected per isolated cord. On average, the author injected 2.5 separate DC cords per CCH bottle. Frequently, patients with severe 3 and 4 finger DC had complete correction with only 1 bottle of CCH.

Improved results, compared to CORD I and II, are partly attributed to routine use of local anesthetic for manipulation, allowing for more forceful, but painless manipulation. A retrospective review (Denkler K, et al. 2011; ASSH E-poster #21.) demonstrated improved success with local anesthetic prior to attempted cord manipulation, with 63% of injections into single cords achieving complete immediate release, compared to 39% of patients achieving similar complete release in CORD I, 30 days after first injection.

With use of the first CCH bottle, 100% complete immediate correction was achieved for contracted pretendinous cords with MCP contracture ≥20°, using only a mean 0.39 mg CCH. 87% complete immediate correction rate was achieved for contracted spiral cords with PIP contracture ≥20° using only a mean 0.28 mg CCH. These results were maintained. 83% of patients maintained complete MCP correction at 35 day average and 58% of patients maintained complete PIP correction at 38 day average.

Frequently, new patient’s present and ask what the expected results would be with CCH for their DC. Results for only one bottle of CCH injected per hand were analyzed to most accurately reflect the expected results for a new DC patient who presents to the office. These results were compared to CORD I and II results. Using the SIMple technique, significantly less CCH was required to release both spiral and pretendinous cords with improved final DC corrections and a higher percentage of complete MCP and PIP corrections. With this technique, multiple cords can be injected at one visit, with one
bottle of CCH, resulting in improved patient convenience and reduced overall health care costs (Tables 4
and 5).

The CCH preparation consists of 2 distinct collagenases, AUX-1 and AUX-II, in an approximate 1:1
ratio that cleaves collagen strands at different sites (Badalamente and Hurst, 2007; French et al., 1987;
Starkweather et al., 1996). The author believes improved results are related to the SIMple technique.
Micro amounts of AUX I and AUX II enzyme are released into the collagen cord with initial injection.
These enzymes work immediately, breaking down collagen. After one to several minutes, depending on
the density of the DC cord, enough collagen strands are disrupted, dramatically increasing permeability
of the cord. This loss of resistance, with constant pressure on the needle plunger, is very reproducible.
The author believes that relatively only a few collagen strands have to be disrupted for this loss of
resistance and increased permeability to be noticed.

The injected enzyme then runs along and inside the cord, dissolving the cord from inside-out
over the next several hours. In contrast, with an injection adjacent to the cord, the CCH dissolves the
cord from outside-in. In this scenario, some of the enzyme molecules are effectively washed away.
Others are broken down by the bodies’ endogenous Alpha 2 macroglobulin enzymes that act against its
own collagenolytic matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs). Further, with injection adjacent to the cord,
there is greater potential for spread of enzyme to nearby flexor tendons or pulleys. The SIMple
technique allows one to use less CCH at a location to dissolve a cord. This technique, however, does
take significant time. By using the entire bottle of CCH with this technique, one can inject multiple cords
with improved efficacy and potentially fewer side effects as the enzyme is contained within the cord as
opposed to being in the soft tissue adjacent.

Compared to a standard 0.58 mg injection, there was no apparent additional morbidity with
injection of the entire bottle of CCH. Further, with good knowledge of anatomy, and careful technique,
one can safely inject spiral and retrovascular cords with good results. The SIMple technique is important whenever an attempt is made to inject more than 3-4 mm distal to the MCP joint flexion crease. The intracord injection minimizes potential spread of enzyme to nearby flexor tendons, lessening potential for tendon disruption.

100% of patients injected developed swelling, ecchymosis, and tenderness at their injection sites. This is in contrast to previous studies and verbal discussions with other injecting physicians, where a small percentage of injected patients are nonresponder patients, ie no swelling, ecchymosis, or tenderness at their injection sites and no apparent cord disruption with the finger extension maneuver.

No patients developed tendon ruptures, anaphylaxis, or other serious adverse events. The incidence of skin lacerations and blood blisters was higher than found during CORD I and CORD II (Hurst et al., 2009; Gilpin et al., 2010), likely related to increase enzyme dosage used and manipulation performed under local anesthesia, allowing for more forceful manipulation. These potential risks, including immunologic sensitization, were discussed with every patient pre-injection. No immunologic evaluations were performed. Over the course of the review, one patient received 9 complete CCH bottles. The author is not aware of any other patient who has received this dose of CCH.

5 patients developed recurrent DC, defined as greater than 20°, at a mean of 11.5 months after injection (range 2 – 28 months). This retrospective review was not designed to evaluate long term recurrence.

Injection of CCH into the thumb is an FDA off-label technique. The injection results were less reliable and favorable with thumb and first web space cord injections. This could be related to patient demographics and small sample size. The author had several young patients in this subset, with bilateral five digit DC and multiple diasthesis risk factors. First web space cords softened after injections. Involvement of the thumb and first web space reflects more severe DC. The author cautions patients
with significant thumb and first web space involvement that results appear worse with injection into these areas, yet other authors (Bendon and Giele, 2012) have reported good outcomes after thumb injection.

The author notes decreased results with severe PIP contractures and Boutonniere deformities, secondary to attenuation and stretching of the extensor mechanism. Frequently, complete passive correction of the PIP contracture is achieved with a mild to moderate residual Boutonniere deformity. The author cautions patients with severe PIP contractures to expect skin lacerations during manipulation.

Weaknesses of this study are the retrospective nature with an unblinded and potentially biased author. Widespread adoption of this SIMple technique will require other researchers and clinicians to verify and support these findings.

This technique demonstrates improved patient convenience by allowing multiple cords to be injected at the same time, resulting in significant overall health care savings. The FDA approved technique only allows 0.58 mg CCH to be injected into one cord at a time. If the results of this technique are verified and the use of this method becomes commonplace, the potential healthcare savings are enormous compared to the typical surgeon, surgicenter, anesthesia, and occupational therapy charges associated with open fasciectomy. Highlighting these results, less than five patients needed occupational therapy after injection, and most had five finger DC.

This study demonstrates improved efficacy with the SIMple technique, allowing one to inject multiple DC cords at one setting, with no apparent additional morbidity with use of the entire bottle of CCH. A hurdle to widespread implementation is the significant increased time required to perform this SIMple injection, compared to injecting single cords. Unfortunately, current reimbursement methods reward additional injections performed, as opposed to improved results. Implementation of the SIMple
CCH technique has the potential to improve current treatment for DC with resultant significant healthcare savings.
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